	STAFF KEFUKT						
Address:	2106 Salisbury Road, Silver Spring	Meeting Date:	5/18/2022				
Resource:	Non-Contributing Resource (Linden Historic District)	Report Date:	5/11/2022				
		Public Notice:	5/4/2022				
Applicant:	Peter and Alison Cairns	Tax Credit:	No				
Review:	HAWP	Staff:	Michael Kyne				
Permit Number	:: 991353						

MONTGOMERY COUNTY HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION STAFF REPORT

PROPOSAL: Partial demolition and construction of new second story addition and deck

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the HPC **<u>approve</u>** the HAWP application.

ARCHITECTURAL DESCRIPTION:

SIGNIFICANCE:	Non-Contributing Resource within the Linden Historic District
STYLE:	Ranch
DATE:	1959



Fig. 1: Subject property, as marked by the blue star.

BACKGROUND:

The applicants previously appeared before the Commission for a preliminary consultation at the March 2, 2022 HPC meeting.¹

PROPOSAL:

The applicants propose partial demolition and construction of new second story addition and deck at the subject property.

APPLICABLE GUIDELINES:

When reviewing alterations and new construction at properties within the Linden Historic District, several documents are to be utilized as guidelines to assist the Commission in developing their decision. These documents include *Montgomery County Code Chapter 24A* (*Chapter 24A*), the *Linden Historic District Master Plan Amendment* (*Amendment*), and *the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation* (*Standards*). The pertinent information in these documents is outlined below.

Montgomery County Code; Chapter 24A-8

- (b) The commission shall instruct the director to issue a permit, or issue a permit subject to such conditions as are found to be necessary to ensure conformity with the purposes and requirements of this chapter, if it finds that:
 - (1) The proposal will not substantially alter the exterior features of an historic site or historic resource within an historic district; or
 - (2) The proposal is compatible in character and nature with the historical, archeological, architectural or cultural features of the historic site or the historic district in which an historic resource is located and would not be detrimental thereto or to the achievement of the purposes of this chapter; or
 - (3) The proposal would enhance or aid in the protection, preservation and public or private utilization of the historic site or historic resource located within an historic district in a manner compatible with the historical, archeological, architectural or cultural value of the historic site or historic district in which an historic resource is located; or
 - (4) The proposal is necessary in order that unsafe conditions or health hazards be remedied; or
 - (5) The proposal is necessary in order that the owner of the subject property not be deprived of reasonable use of the property or suffer undue hardship; or
 - (6) In balancing the interests of the public in preserving the historic site or historic resource located within an historic district, with the interests of the public from the use and benefit of the alternative proposal, the general public welfare is better served by granting the permit.

¹ Link to the March 2, 2022 preliminary consultation staff report: <u>https://montgomeryplanning.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/II.B-2106-Salisbury-Road-Silver-Spring-Preliminary-Consultation.pdf</u> Link to March 2, 2022 HPC meeting audio/video transcript: <u>http://mncppc.granicus.com/MediaPlayer.php?publish_id=f9e5e2b8-9b0e-11ec-972b-0050569183fa</u>

- (c) It is not the intent of this chapter to limit new construction, alteration or repairs to any 1 period or architectural style.
- (d) In the case of an application for work on an historic resource located within an historic district, the commission shall be lenient in its judgment of plans for structures of little historical or design significance or for plans involving new construction, unless such plans would seriously impair the historic or architectural value of surrounding historic resources or would impair the character of the historic district.

Linden Historic District Master Plan Amendment

The Amendment includes the following statement, which is relevant to this case:

Non-contributing Resources - should be given the most lenient level of scrutiny in reviewing proposed alterations and may be considered for demolition if requested by owner.

The first four of the following structures are all post-World War II, Ranch-style houses:

- 1. 2106 Salisbury Road
- 2. 2108 Salisbury Road
- 3. 2109 Salisbury Road
- 4. 2110 Salisbury Road
- 5. 2210 Linden Lane house under construction [at the time of the 1993 amendment]

Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation:

2. The historic character of a property shall be retained and preserved. The removal of historic materials or alteration of features and spaces that characterize a property shall be avoided.

3. Each property shall be recognized as a physical record of its time, place, and use. Changes that create a false sense of historical development, such as adding conjectural features or architectural elements from other buildings, shall not be undertaken.

STAFF DISCUSSION:

The subject property is a 1959 Ranch-style Non-Contributing Resource within the Linden Historic District. The adjacent properties include two 1959 Ranch-style Non-Contributing Resources to the west (right of the subject property from the street) and an 1883 Queen Anne-style Outstanding Resource to the east (left of the subject property from the street). The confronting properties include two 1997 infill houses to the northeast, a 2014 house (which replaced a Ranch-style Non-Contributing Resource noted in the *Amendment* and was approved by the Commission at the April 10, 2013 HPC meeting) to the north, and a 1906 Colonial Revival-style Outstanding Resource to the northwest.

The applicants propose partial demolition and construction of new second story addition and deck at the subject property. The applicants previously appeared before the Commission for a preliminary consultation at the March 2, 2022 HPC meeting. At the preliminary consultation, the Commission was generally supportive of the scale and massing and design of the proposed second story expansion, but recommended the following:

- Reducing the size of the proposed side cross gables and treating them as true dormers.
- Lowering the proposed front gable to the same height as the proposed side gables for a more consistent massing and design.

- Exploring replacement of the existing windows on the front elevation or breaking up the proposed new windows on the front elevation for consistency and to reduce the heavy appearance of the second story expansion.
- Exploring ways to reduce the "heavy" appearance of the proposed front gable on top of, and in relation to, the existing first story.

The applicants have revised their proposal and returned with a HAWP application. Specifically, the depth of the soffits and rake overhangs of the main roof have been increased by 1', and those of the dormers have been increased by 6". As noted in the application, the intention of this revision is to provide additional roof surface, visually separating the dormers from the exterior walls below, and ensuring that the dormers are perceived as true dormers, per the Commission's recommendations. The revisions also include simplified trim details, visually lightening the appearance of the addition. On the east (left) elevation, a window at the top of the stairs has also been removed from the proposal, in response to privacy concerns expressed by the neighbor to the east.

In response to the Commission's recommendations regarding the height and "heavy" appearance of the proposed front gable, the applicants have stated that, given that the footprint of the existing house is very modest, and the proposed second floor and attic are slightly smaller than the first floor, any reduction in the height or footprint of the proposed second floor addition is very challenging. The applicants explored reducing the size of the second floor to address the Commission's comments, but they encountered challenges regarding code compliance. Specifically, the code required landings on the stairs to the second floor would have to be eliminated, and the ceiling height at the top of the attic stair would not be code compliant. The application also states that any reductions to the proposed second floor would also significantly impact the functionality of the second floor bedrooms, bathrooms, and laundry room.

Staff finds that the proposed revisions appropriately respond to the Commission's comments, where feasible.

As noted in the *Amendment*, the subject property is a Non-Contributing Resource, and "... should be given the most lenient level of scrutiny in reviewing proposed alterations and may be considered for demolition if requested by owner." Accordingly, the only questions of appropriateness and compatibility are height, scale and massing, and design, in relation to the streetscape of the surrounding historic district. Staff finds that the applicants have demonstrated that the proposal is appropriate and compatible in these respects via the submitted streetscape studies and three-dimensional views from different vantage points along the public right-of-way.

Staff continues to support the proposed style, finding that leniency should be exercised in reviewing the proposed alterations to this Non-Contributing Resource, in accordance with the *Amendment*. While the *Amendment* states that the Linden Historic District "... is characterized by late-19th century and early-20th century frame dwellings representing Gothic Revival, Second Empire, Queen Anne, Colonial Revival, and Bungalow styles of architecture," the historic district exhibits a degree of eclecticism, with other styles of architecture, including Post-WWII Ranch-style houses. Staff notes that the Commission did not express any specific concerns regarding style and/or taking cues from the existing houses in the historic district at the preliminary consultation.

Staff's support for the proposed style is further supplemented by Montgomery County Code Chapter 24A8 (c) and (d), which state:

- (c) It is not the intent of this chapter to limit new construction, alteration or repairs to any 1 period or architectural style; and,
- (d) In the case of an application for work on an historic resource located within an historic district,

the commission shall be lenient in its judgment of plans for structures of little historical or design significance or for plans involving new construction, unless such plans would *seriously* [emphasis added] impair the historic or architectural value of surrounding historic resources or would impair the character of the historic district.

Additionally, staff finds that new construction that replicates, or is heavily influenced by, any one architectural style or period could create a false sense of historical development, contrary to *Standard #3*.

Staff also supports the proposed materials, which include: dimensional asphalt shingle roofing; painted wood vertical siding on the proposed new second floor; painted horizontal wood siding in the proposed gables (expanded side gables and proposed front and rear gables); painted wood band boards (including one at the existing eaveline); painted wood casement windows; and a flat roof awning above the front door. The existing fenestration on the first floor and basement level will be retained, along with the existing horizontal wood siding on the north (front) and south (rear) elevations, brick veneer siding on the south (rear) and west (right) elevations.

After full and fair consideration of the applicant's submission, staff finds the proposal as being consistent with the Criteria for Issuance in Chapter 24A-8(b), (1), (2), (c), and (d), having found the proposal is consistent with the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation #2* and *#3*, and *Linden Historic District Master Plan Amendment* outlined above.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Commission **approve** the HAWP application under the Criteria for Issuance in Chapter 24A-8(b), (1), (2), (c) & (d), having found that the proposal is consistent with the *Linden Historic District Master Plan Amendment*, and therefore will not substantially alter the exterior features of the historic resource and is compatible in character with the district and the purposes of Chapter 24A;

and with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation #2 and #3.

and with the general condition that the applicant shall present the 3 electronic permit sets of drawings, if applicable, to Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) staff for review and stamping prior to submission for the Montgomery County Department of Permitting Services (DPS) building permits;

and with the general condition that final project design details, not specifically delineated by the Commission, shall be approved by HPC staff or brought back to the Commission as a revised HAWP application at staff's discretion;

and with the general condition that the applicant shall notify the Historic Preservation Staff if they propose to make any alterations to the approved plans. Once the work is completed the applicant will contact the staff person assigned to this application at 301-563-3400 or michael.kyne@montgomeryplanning.org to schedule a follow-up site visit.

	FOR STAFF ONLY:								
APPLICATION HISTORIC AREA WO HISTORIC PRESERVATION 301.563.3400	ORK PERMIT								
APPLICANT:									
Name: Peter & Alison Cairns	E-mail:								
Address: 2106 Salisbury Rd. Daytime Phone: 301-347-6604	Silver Spring Zip: 20910 Tax Account No.: 13-01399932								
Daytime Phone: <u>301-347-6604</u>	Tax Account No.: 13-01399932								
AGENT/CONTACT (if applicable):									
Name: Muse Kirwan Architects	_{E-mail:} qgiroux@musekirwan.com								
Address: 7401 Wisconsin Ave. #500	_{E-mail:} qgiroux@musekirwan.com _{City:} Bethesda20814								
Daytime Phone: <u>301-718-8118</u>	Contractor Registration No.:								
LOCATION OF BUILDING/PREMISE: MIHP # of Histori	c Property								
Is the Property Located within an Historic District? $\sqrt{\gamma}$	/es/District Name lo/Individual Site Name								
Is there an Historic Preservation/Land Trust/Environme map of the easement, and documentation from the Ease NO Are other Planning and/or Hearing Examiner Approvals (Conditional Use, Variance, Record Plat, etc.?) If YES, in supplemental information. NO	ental Easement on the Property? If YES, include a sement Holder supporting this application. /Reviews Required as part of this Application?								
Building Number: 2106 Street: Sali Town/City: Silver Spring Nearest Cros	sbury Road								
Town/City: Silver Spring Nearest Cros	_{s Street:} Warren St.								
Lot: <u>32</u> Block: Subdivision: _	0133 _{Parcel:}								
TYPE OF WORK PROPOSED: See the checklist on Participation for proposed work are submitted with this application be accepted for review. Check all that apply: New Construction Deck/Porch Addition Fence Demolition Hardscape/Lands Grading/Excavation Roof I hereby certify that I have the authority to make the for and accurate and that the construction will comply with agencies and hereby acknowledge and accept this to be addition	tion. Incomplete Applications will not Shed/Garage/Accessory Structure Solar Tree removal/planting cape Vindow/Door Other: oregoing application, that the application is correct h plans reviewed and approved by all necessary be a condition for the issuance of this permit.								
Signature of owner or authorized agent	Date 6								

	ILING ADDRESSES FOR NOTIFING cent and Confronting Property Owners]
Owner's mailing address Peter & Alison Cairns 2106 Salisbury Road Silver Spring, MD 20910	Owner's Agent's mailing address Muse Kirwan Architects 7401 Wisconsin Ave. Suite 500 Bethesda, MD 20814
Adjacent and confronting	Property Owners mailing addresses
Thomas M. Kristie 9310 Brookville Road Silver Spring, MD 20910	Brett & Jennifer Howard 2115 Salisbury Road Silver Spring, MD 20910
Seth & Beverly Sobel 2109 Salisbury Road Silver Spring, MD 20910	Robin E. Brady 2108 Salisbury Road Silver Spring, MD 20910
Margaret W. Byrns 9302 Brookville Road Silver Spring, MD 20910	

Description of Property:

From staff discussion at 33/2/2022 Preliminary Consultation:

"The subject property is a 1959 Ranch-style Non-Contributing Resource within the Linden Historic District. The adjacent properties include two 1959 Ranch-style Non-Contributing Resources to the west and an 1883 Queen Anne-style Outstanding Resource to the east. The confronting properties include two 1997 infill houses to the northeast, a 2014 house (which replaced a Ranch-style Non-Contributing Resource noted in the Amendment and was approved by the Commission at the April 10, 2013 HPC meeting) to the north, and a 1906 Colonial Revival-style Outstanding Resource to the northwest."

Description of Work Proposed:

Proposal presented at 3/2/2022 Preliminary Consultation:

"The applicants propose the following work items at the subject property:

- Demolition of the existing roof on the subject property house and construction of a one-and-ahalf story expansion above.
 - The proposed expanded house will be entirely within the existing footprint.
 - Two large cross gables (one at the north/front elevation, and one at the south/rear elevation) will extend from the existing eaveline to the proposed new ridgeline.
- Construction of a new wooden deck at the south/rear and southeast (rear/left, as viewed from the public right-of-way of Salisbury Road) corner of the house.

The proposed materials include dimensional asphalt shingle roofing, painted wood vertical siding on the proposed new second floor, painted horizontal wood siding in the proposed gables (expanded side gables and proposed front and rear gables), painted wood band boards (including one at the existing eaveline), and painted wood casement windows. A new flat roof awing is also proposed above the front door."

Description of response to 3/2/2022 Preliminary Consultation:

In response to the HPC's preliminary review of the proposal, we have made modifications to address several of the suggestions offered by the HPC to make this a more compatible alteration to this non-contributing resource. A few points we want to clarify for this submission:

- The footprint of the existing home is very modest and the proposed second floor and attic are slightly smaller than the first floor. Also, as our new exhibits will show, the proposed elevations are smaller in height and we believe a better scale when compared to the previously approved HAWP for this property. The modest size of the existing home and our proposal, that does not increase the footprint of the existing home, has made any reductions in the footprint of the second floor and reductions in the height of the proposed roof very challenging.
- We carefully studied reducing the size of the second floor to address some of the comments from the preliminary consultation, however we ran into issues with the new stairs to the second floor and attic not having code required landings at the top of each stair nor code required

ceiling height at the top of the attic stair. In addition, reductions to the second floor also significantly impacted the functionality of the bedrooms, bathrooms, and the laundry room.

Thus we had to address the HPC's comments in alternative ways. The most significant changes to this revised design are that we have increased the depth of the soffits and rake overhangs of the main northsouth roof by a foot, as well as the roofs and soffits of the dormers by six inches. We believe this results in the dormers reading more like dormers by visually separating them more from the existing first floor exterior walls with greater roof surface on the sides and below the dormers, as encouraged by the HPC during their deliberations at the preliminary consultation. We also have simplified some of the horizontal trim details which we believe visually lightens the facades also in response to the HPC's comments. Lastly we have removed the window on the East elevation at the top of the new stairs to the second floor in response to the East neighbor's concerns for visual privacy.

Also, in response to the HPC and staff recommendations we have provided three dimensional views of the proposal from different vantage points along Salisbury Road. We believe these illustrate the compatible nature of the proposal in the context of the new and historic two and a half to three story residences along Linden Lane as well as sets a compatible precedent for future proposals that may impact the height and scale of the remaining one story non-contributing homes on Linden Lane.

We want to thank the HPC and staff for their helpful comments that we believe have made this revised proposal an improvement on what was previously submitted. Our hope is that the revised proposal resolves most of the significant concerns the HPC raised at the preliminary consultation allowing the approval of a HAWP for this project.











NTERIOR DOC	R SCHEDULE															EXTE	RIOR WINDOW SCH	EDULE			
Mark	Location	Type / Material	Single / Pair	Size (cach leaf/ opng.)	Thk.	Hardware Set	Remarks									Mark	Description	Frame Size/ M.O. Size	Unit R.O. Ht. Abv. Fin. Fl.	Remarks	
003A	Bedroom 003	Hinged / Wood Panel	Single	2'-6" x 6'-8"	1 1/2*	Privacy Set	Remarks									A	Marvin Ultimate Casement UWCA3044		Match 1st. fl. head height		
003B	Bedroom 003	Hinged / Wood Panel	Single	2'-6" x 6'-8"	1 1/2*	Passage Set										в	Marvin Ultimate Casement (Custom size); direct set	± 1'-8" x 5'-11" V.I.F.		Replace existing window, match existing width. Daylight opening to match adiacent door X002. Existing	
005A	Vestibule 005	Cased Opening		2'-6" x 6'-8"													fixed Marvin Ultimate Casement		Door & WDW. Trim to	dimensions to be field verified.	
005B	Vestibule 005	Hinged / Wood Panel	Single	2'-6" x 6'-8"	1 1/2"	Passage Set										С	UWCA3254 E	2'-8" x 4'-6 1/16"	align @ 2nd fl.		
005C	Vestibule 005	Hinged / Wood Panel	Single	2'-6" x 6'-8"	1 1/2*	Passage Set		вл			- PRELIMINARY P		-			D	Marvin Ultimate Casement UWCA2644	2'-0" x 3'-8 1/16"	Deor & WDW. Trim to align @ 2nd fl.		
009A	Bedroom 009	Hinged / Wood Panel	Single	2'-6" x 6'-8"	1 1/2"	Passage Set		-	Room		Fixture		Fittings	Finish	Remarks		Marvin Ultimate Casement	± 2'-6" x 4'-6 1/16* V.LF.	Maintain existing head	Existing window opening to be field	
011A	Ex. Laundry Room 011	Hinged / Wood Panel	Single	2'-6" x 6'-8"	1 1/2*	Privacy Set		EMENT			Kohler Usdertone		Rohl Modern Kitchen Side Lever Pull-Dov	an Polished	Provide supplies, stops, and P-trap. Provide disposal with air switch in matching finish. See plans and interior elevations for alignment/	E	UWCA3054	± 2'-9 5/8" x 4'-7 7/8" V.I.F.	height of basement level.	verified.	
101A	Ex. Living Room 101	Cased Opening		6'-0" x 6'-8"				VSEV	KITCHEN 00	1 51	Undermount Kitchen Sink, K-3325	SS	Kitchen Faucet, CY57L-2	Chrome	dimensions. GC shall coordinate exact rough- in location for handspray & air switch w/	F	Marvin Ultimate Casement	2'-8" x 3'-8 1/16"	Door & WDW. Trim to		
102A	Office/Study 102	Sliding / Wood Panel	Pair	2'-6" x 6'-8" / 5'-0" x 6'-8"	1.1/2"	Sliding Passage Set		B		-			Waterworks UNIVERSAL 2 3/4* shower		architect prior to installation.	-	UWCA3244		align @ 2nd fl.		
105A	Kitchen 105	Hinged / Wood Panel	Single	2'-6" x 6'-8"	1 1/2"	Privacy Set	Lockable from stairway.				Kohler Bellwether alcov	ther alcone	head w/ adjustable spray, UNSH38 Waterworks ROADSTER shower arm and flange, RDSH01	Polished	Provide supplies, stops, P-trap, & shower drain to match fittings finish. See plans and interior	EX	Existing Window RIOR DOOR SCHED	E.T.R. ULE			
106A	Hall 106	Cased Opening		2'-6" x 6'-8"						TI	bath, K-837	e White	Waterworks ROADSTER pressure balance control valve w/ metal lever handle, RDPB30	Chrome	elevations for drain & control wall location. GC shall coordinate exact rough-in location w/ architect prior to installation.	Mark	Description	Frame Size/ M.O. Size	Unit R.O. Ht. Abv. Fin. Fl.	Remarks	
106B	Hall 106	Hinged / Wood Panel	Single	2'-0" x 6'-8"	1.1/2"	Passage Set			HALL BATI 203	۱ <u> </u>			RDPB30 Waterworks ROADSTER wall mounted shower tub spout, RDTS80	1		X001	Marvin Ultimate Inswing French Door UWIFD3068	± 3'-1 7/16" x 6'-10" V.I.F.		Replacement door. Dimensions to be field verified.	
106C	Hall 106	Hinged / Wood Panel	Pair	1'-6" x 6'-8" / 3'-0" x 6'-8"	1 1/2"	Passage Set				WCI	bowl, CS 743S, w/	White	Trip lever	Polished Chrome	Provide supplies, stops, and P-trap in chrome finish. See plans for alignment/ dimensions.	X002	Marvin Ultimate Inswing French Door UWIFD5068	5'-5/8" x 6'-10"			ſ
109A	Bedroom 109	Hinged / Wood Panel	Pair	2'-0" x 6'-8" / 4'-0" x 6'-8"	1 1/2"	Passage Set				ы	SoftClose seat, SS113 Kohler Cexton Rectang Undermount Bahtroom	le White	Waterworks ROADSTER fancet with lever	Polished	Provide supplies, stops, and P-trap in chrome	X003	Marvin Ultimate Inswing French Door UWIFD3068	± 3'-1 7/16" x 6'-10" V.I.F. ± 3'-4 9/16" x 6'-11 9/16"	-	Replacement door. Dimensions to be field verified.	
109B	Bedroom 109	Hinged / Wood Panel	Pair	2'-0" x 6'-8" / 4'-0" x 6'-8"	1 1/2"	Passage Set				LI	Sink, K-2000		handles, RDLS10	Chrome	finish. See plans for alignment/ dimensions.	EX	Existing Door	V.LF. E.T.R.			
203A	Hall Bath 203	Hinged / Wood Panel	Single	2'-6" x 6'-8"	1 1/2"	Privacy Set		×		L2	Kohler Caton Reetang Undermont Bahtroom Sink, K-2000	le White	Waterworks ROADSTER fancet with lever handles, RDLS10		Provide supplies, stops, and P-trap in chrome finish. See plans for alignment/ dimensions.		Contractor to wrify all dim	ensions in field prior to placin	e onler typ		
204A	Bedroom 204	Hinged / Wood Panel	Single	2'-6" x 6'-8"	1 1/2"	Privacy Set		ELOO	PRIMARY BATH 211	we	Toto Drale two-piece toilet, 1.6gpf, round	White	Trip lever	Polished			2. Contractor shall provide sh	op drawings for architect's revi			
204B	Bedroom 204	Hinged / Wood Panel	Pair	2'-0" x 6'-8" / 4'-0" x 6'-8"	1 1/2"	Passage Set		SECOND FLOOR			SoftClose seat, SS113 Kohler Sustruck Oval				finish. See plans for alignment/ dimensions.		 Window/ door swings are i Provide safety/tempered gla 				
206A	Bedroom 206	Hinged / Wood Panel	Single	2'-6" x 6'-8"	1 1/2"	Privacy Set		IS		T2	Freestanding Bath, K- 6369	White	Rohl Perrin & Rowe Deco Exposed Tub Filler with lever handle, U.3132LS-APC Waterworks UNIVERSAL 2 3/4" shower	Polished Chrome	Provide supplies, stops, and P-trap in chrome finish. See plans for alignment/ dimensions.	:	All windows to be glazed v data. Furnish safety/tempe	rith manufacturer's low-E II and red glass where required by con-	d argon, insulated glass. R de.	efer to Insulation Schedule for energy	
206B	Bedroom 206	Hinged / Wood Panel	Pair	2'-0" x 6'-8" / 4'-0" x 6'-8"	1 1/2"	Passage Set				shi sh			head w/ adjustable spray, UNSH38 Waterworks ROADSTER wall mounted			 All window units to be clear pine with factory primed interior and painted exterior, as made by Marvin or approved equal. Provide all window units with manufacturer's factory finish white screen frames. 					
208A	Laundry 208	Hinged / Wood Panel	Single	2'-6" x 6'-8"	1.1/2*	Privacy Set							shower arm & flange, RDSH01 Waterworks ROADSTER volume control valve trim w/ metal lever handle, RDVC50	Polished	Provide supplies, stops, P-trap, & shower drain to match fittings finish. See plans and interior elevations for drain & control wall location. GC shall coordinate exact rough-ino location w/ architect prior to installation.	4	3. Provide all window units w	ith manufacturer's white hardw	are.		
209A	Primary Bedroom 209	Hinged / Wood Panel	Single	2'-6" x 6'-8"	1 1/2"	Privacy Set			SHOWER 21		H1 Built-in full height tile shower	-	Waterworks ROADSTER thermostatic control valve trim w/ metal lever handle, RDTH10	Chrome		10	schedule			nents per int. elevations & finish ar opening no greater than 44" A.F.F.	
209B	Primary Bedroom 209	Sliding / Wood Panel	Single	2'-6" x 6'-8"	1 1/2"	Privacy Sliding Set							Waterworks ROADSTER handshower on hook w/ metal handle, RDHS01 Waterworks UNIVERSAL 5* shower drain								
209C	Primary Bedroom 209	Sliding / Wood Panel	Single	2'-6" x 6'-8"	1 1/2"	Privacy Sliding Set							UNSD02		Provide supplies, stops, and P-trap. See plans						
211A	Primary Bath 211	Sliding / Wood Panel	Single	2'-6" x 6'-8"	1 1/2"	Privacy Sliding Set			LAUNDRY 208	\$3	Kohler Usdertone Undermount Kitchen Sink, K-3325	ss	Rohl Modern Kitchen Side Lever Pull-Dov Kitchen Faucet, CY57L-2	n Polished Chrome	and interior elevations for alignment/ dimensions. GC shall coordinate exact rough- in location for handspear & air switch w/						
301A	Attic Room 301	Hinged / Wood Panel	Single	2'-6" x 6'-8"	1 1/2"	Privacy Set		ALT	TERNATE 1						architect prior to installation.						
OTES:								ğ							Provide supplies, stops, and P-trap. Provide						ſ
	o field verify all dimensio							FLO	KITCHEN 10	5 52	Kohler Undertone Undermount Kitchen	ss	Rohl Modern Kitchen Side Lever Pull-Dov	m Polished	disposal with air switch in matching finish. See plans and interior elevations for alignment/						H
 Finished dor alignment. 	or/window heads shall ali	gn w/ adjacent door/wit	ndow heads	typical and as indicated in the drav	wings. GC s	hall verify that typic	cal 5'-8" door height will accommodate	RST		1	Sink, K-3325		Kitchen Faucet, CY57L-2	Chrome	dimensions. GC shall coordinate exact rough- in location for handspray & air switch w'						
	dicated on plans and inter	ior elevations.						E						1	architect prior to installation.						
	aneled doors and pocket		ick minimu	n, typical, solid core.				NOT			Record and Ariston 1	. A. alla	ents of all plumbing fixtures with architect pri-								
6. Provide shop drawings for architect's review prior to placing an order.				2.					to achieve design intent shown on the drawing								╞				
7. All hardwar	e finish TBD, typical. Co	ntractor shall verify wi	th Owner ar	d architect prior to placing an orde	r. See allow	ances.															
8. Contractor s	shall coordinate all doors t	c receive jamb switching	ig per electr	ical floor plans.																	
	all wood bored holes at do																				
 Provide safe 	ty/tempered glass per IB0	CIRC/local codes, typic	al.																		
																					1
																					- 1

SHEET NO. A002















NEIGHBORING HOUSES



8 2102 SALISBURY RD. HPC-01 SCALE: N.T.S.

9310 BROOKVILLE RD. 7 9310 BRO HPC-01 SCALE: N.T.S.





5 2110 SALISBURY RD. HPC-01 SCALE: N.T.S.

2106 SALISBURY ROAD



SILVER SPRING, MD, 20910

2106 SALISBURY RD.

21.09 HAWP SUBMISSION 2022 APRIL 26

SURROUNDING CONTEXT

SHEET NO. HPC-01

SCALE: 1/4"=1'-0"

RENOVATION OF & ADDITION TO THE CAIRNS RESIDENCE



