MONTGOMERY COUNTY HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION  
STAFF REPORT

Address: 14 Hesketh Street, Chevy Chase  
Meeting Date: 8/18/2021

Resource: Contributing Resource  
(Chevy Chase Village Historic District)  
Report Date: 8/11/2021

Applicant: Thomas and Allison Giles  
(Paul Locher Jr., Agent)  
Public Notice: 8/4/2021

Review: HAWP  
Tax Credit: No

Permit Number: 961173  
Staff: Michael Kyne

PROPOSAL: Porch alteration, new shed construction, hardscape alteration.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the HPC Approve the HAWP application.

ARCHITECTURAL DESCRIPTION:

SIGNIFICANCE: Contributing Resource within the Chevy Chase Village Historic District
STYLE: Colonial Revival
DATE: c. 1892-1916

Fig. 1: Subject property.
PROPOSAL:

The applicants propose porch alterations, new shed construction, and hardscape alterations at the subject property.

APPLICABLE GUIDELINES:

When reviewing alterations and new construction within the Chevy Chase Village Historic District several documents are to be utilized as guidelines to assist the Commission in developing their decision. These documents include the historic preservation review guidelines in the approved and adopted amendment for the Chevy Chase Village Historic District (Guidelines), Montgomery County Code Chapter 24A (Chapter 24A), and the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for Rehabilitation (Standards). The pertinent information in these documents is outlined below.

Sec. 24A-8. Same-Criteria for issuance.

(b) The commission shall instruct the director to issue a permit, or issue a permit subject to such conditions as are found to be necessary to ensure conformity with the purposes and requirements of this chapter, if it finds that:

(1) The proposal will not substantially alter the exterior features of an historic site or historic resource within an historic district; or

(2) The proposal is compatible in character and nature with the historical, archeological, architectural or cultural features of the historic site or the historic district in which an historic resource is located and would not be detrimental thereto or to the achievement of the purposes of this chapter; or

(3) The proposal would enhance or aid in the protection, preservation and public or private utilization of the historic site or historic resource located within an historic district in a manner compatible with the historical, archeological, architectural or cultural value of the historic site or historic district in which an historic resource is located; or

(4) The proposal is necessary in order that unsafe conditions or health hazards be remedied; or

(5) The proposal is necessary in order that the owner of the subject property not be deprived of reasonable use of the property or suffer undue hardship; [emphasis added] or

(6) In balancing the interests of the public in preserving the historic site or historic resource located within an historic district, with the interests of the public from the use and benefit of the alternative proposal, the general public welfare is better served by granting the permit.

(c) It is not the intent of this chapter to limit new construction, alteration or repairs to any 1 period or architectural style.

(d) In the case of an application for work on an historic resource located within an historic district, the commission shall be lenient in its judgment of plans for structures of little historical or design significance or for plans involving new construction, unless such plans would seriously impair the historic or architectural value of surrounding historic resources or would impair the character of the historic district. (Ord.No.9-4, § 1; Ord.No. II-59)
Chevy Chase Village Historic District Guidelines

The guidelines break down specific projects into three levels of review – Lenient, Moderate and Strict Scrutiny.

“Lenient Scrutiny” means that the emphasis of the review should be on issues of general massing and scale, and compatibility with the surrounding streetscape, and should allow for a very liberal interpretation of preservation rules. Most changes should be permitted unless there are major problems with massing, scale and compatibility.

“Moderate Scrutiny” involves a higher standard of review than “lenient scrutiny.” Besides issues of massing, scale and compatibility, preserving the integrity of the resource is taken into account. Alterations should be designed so that the altered structure still contributes to the district. Use of compatible new materials, rather than the original building materials, should be permitted. Planned changes should be compatible with the structure’s existing design, but should not be required to replicate its architectural style.

“Strict Scrutiny” means that the planned changes should be reviewed to ensure that the integrity of the significant exterior architectural or landscaping features and details is not compromised. However, strict scrutiny should not be “strict in theory but fatal in fact” i.e. it does not mean that there can be no changes but simply that the proposed changes should be reviewed with extra care.

The Guidelines state three basic policies that should be adhered to, including:

Preserving the integrity of the contributing structures in the district. Alterations to contributing structures should be designed in such a way that the altered structure still contributes to the district.

Design review emphasis should be restricted to changes that will be visible from the front or side public right-of-way, or that would be visible in the absence of vegetation or landscaping.

Alterations to the portion of a property that are not visible from the public right-of-way should be subject to very lenient review. Most changes to rear of the properties should be approved as a matter of course.

The Guidelines that pertain to this project are as follows:

Porches should be subject to moderate scrutiny if they are visible from the public right-of-way, lenient scrutiny if they are not. Enclosures of existing side porches have occurred throughout the Village with little or no adverse effect on its character, and they should be permitted where compatibly designed. Strict scrutiny should be applied to additions above existing front porches.

Sheds should be subject to moderate scrutiny if they are visible from the public right-of-way, lenient scrutiny if they are not.

Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for Rehabilitation

The Secretary of the Interior defines rehabilitation as “the act or process of making possible a compatible use for a property through repair, alterations, and additions while preserving those portions or features, which convey its historical, cultural, or architectural values.” The applicable Standards are as follows:

#2: The historic character of a property will be retained and preserved. The removal of distinctive
materials or alterations of features, spaces, and spatial relationships that characterize a property will be avoided.

#9: New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction will not destroy historic materials, features, and spatial relationships that characterize the property. The new work shall be differentiated from the old and will be compatible with the historic materials, features, size, scale and proportion, and massing to protect the integrity of the property and its environment.

#10: New additions and adjacent or related new construction will be undertaken in such a manner that, if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired.

**STAFF DISCUSSION:**

The subject property is a c. 1892-1916 Colonial Revival-style Contributing Resource within the Chevy Chase Village Historic District. The applicants propose the following work items at the subject property:

*Porch Alteration*

The applicants propose to enclose the existing screened porch at the west (right, as viewed from the public right-of-way of Hesketh Street) side of the historic house. The existing wood railings, screens, and screened doors will be removed, and 2x4 wood framing with single-lite wood casement windows and doors will be installed in their place. Flat wood recessed panels will be installed below the proposed new casement windows. The number of window and door openings will remain the same as existing.

*Shed Construction and Hardscape Alteration*

A new 10’ x 10’ shed is proposed at the southwest (rear/right) corner of the property. The proposed shed will be installed on a new concrete slab, and it will be constructed from wood, with cedar shake roof, wood shiplap siding, wood door, and wood cupola. A small flagstone on stone dust path will be created, connecting the lawn to the shed.

Staff supports the applicants’ proposal, finding it consistent with the Guidelines. The proposed porch enclosure will be clearly visible from the public right-of-way of Hesketh Street, and the proposed new shed would likely be visible in the absence of the existing west/left side fence. Accordingly, staff finds that the proposal should be subject to moderate scrutiny. Per the Guidelines, moderate scrutiny “involves a higher standard of review than ‘lenient scrutiny.’” Besides issues of massing, scale and compatibility, preserving the integrity of the resource is taken into account. Alterations should be designed so that the altered structure still contributes to the district. Use of compatible new materials, rather than the original building materials, should be permitted. Planned changes should be compatible with the structure’s existing design, but should not be required to replicate its architectural style.”

As noted in the Guidelines, existing side porches have occurred throughout the Village with little or no adverse effect on its character, and they should be permitted where compatibly designed. Staff finds that the proposed porch enclosure is compatibly designed and will not detract from the historic house or surrounding streetscape.

Staff finds that the proposal will preserve the integrity of the resource and the house/property will still contribute to the district. Additionally, the proposed new shed will not remove or alter character-defining features of the historic house or surrounding streetscape, in accordance with Standards #2 and #9. Per Standard #10, the proposed new shed can be removed in the future without impairing the essential form
and integrity of the historic property and its environment.

After full and fair consideration of the applicant’s submission staff finds the proposal as being consistent with the Criteria for Issuance in Chapter 24A-(b) 1 and 2, having found the proposal is consistent with the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for Rehabilitation #2, #9, and #10, and the Chevy Chase Village Historic District Guidelines outlined above.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the Commission approve the HAWP application under the Criteria for Issuance in Chapter 24A-8(b) (1), (2), and (d), having found that the proposal is consistent with the Chevy Chase Village Historic District Guidelines identified above, and therefore will not substantially alter the exterior features of the historic resource and is compatible in character with the district and the purposes of Chapter 24A;

and with the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for Rehabilitation #2, #9, and #10;

and with the general condition that the applicant shall present an electronic set of drawings, if applicable, to Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) staff for review and stamping prior to submission for the Montgomery County Department of Permitting Services (DPS) building permits;

and with the general condition that final project design details, not specifically delineated by the Commission, shall be approved by HPC staff or brought back to the Commission as a revised HAWP application at staff’s discretion;

and with the general condition that the applicant shall notify the Historic Preservation Staff if they propose to make any alterations to the approved plans. Once the work is completed the applicant will contact the staff person assigned to this application at 301-563-3400 or michael.kyne@montgomeryplanning.org to schedule a follow-up site visit.
APPLICANT:
Name: Thomas and Allison Giles
Address: 14 Hesketh Street
Daytime Phone: 202-631-5577

E-mail: AHGiles5@gmail.com
City: Chevy Chase
Zip: 20815

Tax Account No.: 

AGENT/CONTACT (if applicable):
Name: Paul Locher Jr
Address: 10023 Raynor Road
Daytime Phone: 301-518-7053

E-mail: locherdesignbuild@gmail.com
City: Silver Spring
Zip: 20901
Contractor Registration No.: 

LOCATION OF BUILDING/PREMISE: MIHP # of Historic Property

Is the Property Located within an Historic District? Yes/District Name Chevy Chase Village

No/Individual Site Name

Is there an Historic Preservation/Land Trust/Environmental Easement on the Property? If YES, include a map of the easement, and documentation from the Easement Holder supporting this application.

Are other Planning and/or Hearing Examiner Approvals/Reviews Required as part of this Application? (Conditional Use, Variance, Record Plat, etc.?) If YES, include information on these reviews as supplemental information.

Building Number: 14 Street: Hesketh Street

Town/City: Chevy Chase Nearest Cross Street: Magnolia Parkway

Lot: Pt 16/ Pt 17 Block: 24 Subdivision: Ch Ch Village Parcel: 

TYPE OF WORK PROPOSED: See the checklist on Page 4 to verify that all supporting items for proposed work are submitted with this application. Incomplete Applications will not be accepted for review. Check all that apply:

☐ New Construction ☐ Deck/Porch ☑ Shed/Garage/Accessory Structure
☐ Addition ☐ Fence ☐ Solar
☐ Demolition ☐ Hardscape/Landscape ☐ Tree removal/planting
☐ Grading/Excavation ☐ Roof ☐ Window/Door

I hereby certify that I have the authority to make the foregoing application, that the application is correct and accurate and that the construction will comply with plans reviewed and approved by all necessary agencies and hereby acknowledge and accept this to be a condition for the issuance of this permit.

Paul Locher Jr

Signature of owner or authorized agent

July 27, 2021

Date
Description of Property: Please describe the building and surrounding environment. Include information on significant structures, landscape features, or other significant features of the property:

14 Hesketh Street is a colonial house completed prior to 1916. A 2nd story addition over the screened porch was also completed prior to 1916.

In 1994 a single story rear addition was constructed and in 1998 the windows were modified, both under Historic Area Work Permits.

The property is mostly open with lawns in the front and rear, a rear patio and mature landscaping.

Description of Work Proposed: Please give an overview of the work to be undertaken:

The proposed projects include enclosing the screened porch and adding a garden shed at the rear of the property, similar to various other projects in the Village.

The existing screened porch wood framed screens, doors and rail would be removed and new wood windows and doors would be added. The window and door count will be the same as existing on all 3 sides.

Below the windows would be constructed a series of flat recessed panels.

In the rear, a 10' x 10' garden shed would be erected at the 5' side and rear zoning setbacks. The company involved has experience building and installing in the Village.

The owner believes that these modifications would have nominal effect on the streetscape.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work Item 1: <strong>Screened porch</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description of Current Condition:</strong> Wood frame screens, doors and rails set between columns and pilasters. Columns and pilasters will remain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed Work:</strong> Remove the wood framed screens, doors and rails and build a 2x4 frame wall between the columns and pilasters to support the installation of Lincold, wood casement, windows and doors. Trim the exterior walls with flat recessed panels below the windows, and continue the 1x panel separators around the windows and doors.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work Item 2: <strong>Shed</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description of Current Condition:</strong> There are no improvements in the corner selected for the shed. A few shrubs would need to be moved to accommodate installation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed Work:</strong> Install a turned down slab foundation for the 10’ x 10’ shed. Shed is a prefab unit designed to sit on the proposed foundation. A short walking path and replacement of shrubs would complete the work.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work Item 3:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description of Current Condition:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed Work:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additions/Alterations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demolition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deck/Porch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fence/Wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driveway/Parking Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grading/Excavation/Landscaping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree Removal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siding/Roof Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Window/Door Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masonry Repair/Repoint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# HAWP APPLICATION: MAILING ADDRESSES FOR NOTIFYING
[Owner, Owner’s Agent, Adjacent and Confronting Property Owners]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Owner’s mailing address</th>
<th>Owner’s Agent’s mailing address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tom &amp; Allison Giles</td>
<td>Paul Locher Jr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Hesketh Street</td>
<td>10025 Raynor Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH CH, MD 20815</td>
<td>Silver Spring, MD 20901</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adjacent and confronting Property Owners mailing addresses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Andrei &amp; Elena Lavrova</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Hesketh Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH CH, MD 20815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. M. Homeowner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Hesketh Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH CH, MD 20815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Evans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deanne Ottoviano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Hesketh Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH CH, MD 20815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># 14.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Charlie Wang                                                |
| 17 Hesketh Street                                           |
| CH CH, MD 20815                                            |
| Dina Lasson                                                 |
| 16 Hesketh Street                                           |
| CH CH, MD 20815                                            |
# HAWP APPLICATION: MAILING ADDRESSES FOR NOTIFYING

[Owner, Owner’s Agent, Adjacent and Confronting Property Owners]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Owner’s mailing address</th>
<th>Owner’s Agent’s mailing address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tom &amp; Allison Gillis</td>
<td>Paul Locane Jr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Hesketh Street</td>
<td>10023 Raymo Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chevy Chase, MD 20815</td>
<td>Silver Spring, MD 20901</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adjacent and confronting Property Owners mailing addresses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lawrence Lapham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Grafton Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chevy Chase, MD 20815</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Robert Levin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Grafton Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chevy Chase, MD 20815</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>David Cox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Grafton Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chevy Chase, MD 20815</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Local Conditions

Ground Snow Load 15
Wind Speed 90 mph
Seismic Category A
Weathering Severe
Frost Line Depth 10'
Termite Mod/Heavy
Decay Slight/Mod
Winter Design Temp 10 F
Flood Hazard No

George Gerber, PE

Professional Certification, I hereby certify that this document was prepared and approved by me, and that I am duly licensed engineer under the laws of the State of Maryland.

License number # 4329, Expiration Date: December 28, 2022.

George C. Gerber
1029 Ballantrae Court
McLean, VA 22032
703 - 442 - 0239

Existing North Elevation

14 HESKETH STREET
CHEVY CHASE, MD 20815

Photo of Existing House

Designed by
Paul Locher

Drawn by
Hannah Spolidoro
Existing North Elevation

Note:
Remove wood rails and screens

George Gerber, PE
Professional Certification. I hereby certify that this document was prepared and approved by me, and that I am duly licensed engineer under the laws of the State of Maryland.
License number # 4329 Expiration Date: December 28, 2022.
George C. Gerber
1099 Ballantree Court
McLean, VA 22102
703-442-0399

Scale 1/4" - 1'
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George Gerber, PE
Professional Certification. I hereby certify that this document was prepared and approved by me, and that I am duly licensed engineer under the laws of the State of Maryland.

License number # 4329 Expiration
Date: December 28, 2022.
George C. Gerber
1509 Ballantrae Court
McLean, VA 22032
703 - 442 - 0399

Existing South Elevation
Existing West Elevation

George Gerber, PE
Professional Certification. I hereby certify that this document was prepared and approved by me, and that I am duly licensed engineer under the laws of the State of Maryland.

License number # 4329 Expiration Date: December 28, 2022.
George C. Gerber
1209 Ballantrae Court
McLean, VA 22102
703 - 442 - 0399

Note:
Remove wood rails and screens
Existing First Floor Plan
Existing Third Floor Plan
Proposed North Elevation
George Gerber, PE
Professional Certification. I hereby certify that this document was prepared and approved by me, and that I am duly licensed engineer under the laws of the State of Maryland.
License number # 4329 Expiration Date: December 28, 2022.
George C. Gerber
1109 Ballantrae Court
McLean, VA 22102
703 - 442 - 0389

INSTALL NEW WOOD DOORS AND 5 DELIGHTS

Proposed South Elevation
George Gerber, PE
Professional Certification. I hereby certify that this document was prepared and approved by me, and that I am duly licensed engineer under the laws of the State of Maryland.

License number # 4329. Expiration Date: December 28, 2022.
George C. Gerber
1309 Ballistrae Court
McLean, VA 22102
733 - 442 - 0369

Proposed West Elevation
Proposed Partial Elevations

George Gerber, PE
Professional Certification. I hereby certify that this document was prepared and approved by me, and that I am duly licensed engineer under the laws of the State of Maryland.

License number #: 6329 Expiration Date: December 28, 2022.
George C. Gerber
1309 Ballantree Court
McLean, VA 22102
703-442-0389

Scale 1/4" - 1'
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Proposed First Floor Plan

NOTE:
All wood construction.

New Window Details.
- (3) casement windows
- Windows centered in columns
- Sizing based on Lincoln Casement style window
- North Window Dimensions: 2658 with 3" spacers
- West Window Dimensions: 2058 with 2" spacers
- South Door & Window Dimensions: 5048 FR Door and (2) 1268 Side Ligh's with 2" spacing

George Gerber, PE
Professional Certification, I hereby certify that this document was prepared and approved by me, and that I am duly licensed engineer under the laws of the State of Maryland.

License number # 4329, Expiration Date: December 28, 2012.

George C. Gerber
1359 Ballentras Court
McLean, VA 22040
703-442-0399

Scale 1/4" = 1'
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Proposed Shed Elevation and Cross-Sections

George Gerber, PE
Professional Certification. I hereby certify that this document was prepared and approved by me, and that I am duly licensed engineer under the laws of the State of Maryland.

License number # 4329 Expiration Date: December 28, 2022.

George C. Gerber
1109 Ballantrae Court
McLean, VA 22032
703 - 442 - 0309

Scale 1/4" - 1'
LOCHER - GILES JOB

Quote Date       Job / Site Name
7/7/2021         LOCHER - GILES JOB
R.O. Width Allowance = 1/2” R.O. Height Allowance = 1/2”

**Line # 1**  2058 LH

- CSMT-1; L; Primed Wood
- Exterior; LoE-366
- Box Size: 24x68
- LoE-366/Neat
- Custom Height
- White Screen Boxed
- BetterVue Mesh
- Preserve Glass
- Silver Spacer
- 4-9/16” Jamb
- No Brickmould
- PVC Sill Nosing
- White Hardware
- Interior Double Prime Finish
  - Glass Size: 19x63

Sash 1
- U-Factor=0.28
- SHGC=0.19
- Visible Transmittance=0.44
- PG=CW-PG55-C
- Single Unit Rating Only

3 Each

**Line # 2**  2058 F

- CSMT-1; S; Primed Wood
- Exterior; LoE-366
- Box Size: 24x68
- LoE-366/Neat
- Custom Height
- 1 Vent Deduct (19x63)
- Preserve Glass
- Silver Spacer
- 4-9/16” Jamb
- No Brickmould
- PVC Sill Nosing
- Interior Double Prime Finish
  - Glass Size: 19x63

Sash 1
- U-Factor=0.28
- SHGC=0.22
- Visible Transmittance=0.51
- PG=CW-PG60-FW
- Single Unit Rating Only

3 Each
Line # 3  2058 RH

- CSMT-1; R: Primed Wood
  Exterior; LoE-366
  Box Size: 24x68
  LoE-366/Neat
  Custom Height
  White Screen Boxed
  BetterVue Mesh
  Preserve Glass
  Silver Spacer
  4-9/16” Jamb
  No Brickmould
  PVC Sill Nosing
  White Hardware
  Interior Double Prime Finish
  (Glass Size: 19x63)

Sash 1
U-Factor=0.28
SHGC=0.19
Visible Transmittance=0.44
PG=CW-PG55-C
Single Unit Rating Only

3 Each

Line # 4  2658 LH

- CSMT-1; L: Primed Wood
  Exterior; LoE-366
  Box Size: 30x68
  LoE-366/Neat
  Custom Height
  White Screen Boxed
  BetterVue Mesh
  Preserve Glass
  Silver Spacer
  4-9/16” Jamb
  No Brickmould
  PVC Sill Nosing
  White Hardware
  Interior Double Prime Finish
  (Glass Size: 25x63)

Sash 1
U-Factor=0.28
SHGC=0.19
Visible Transmittance=0.44
PG=CW-PG55-C
Single Unit Rating Only

1 Each
Line # 5  2658 F

- CSMT-1; S; Primed Wood Exterior; LoE-366
- Box Size: 30x68
- LoE-366/Neat
- Custom Height
- 1 Vent Deduct (25x63)
- Preserve Glass
- Silver Spacer
- 4-9/16" Jamb
- No Brickmould
- PVC Sill Nosing
- Interior Double Prime Finish (Glass Size: 25x63)

Sash 1
- U-Factor=0.28
- SHGC=0.22
- Visible Transmittance=0.51
- PG=CW-PG60-FW
- Single Unit Rating Only

1 Each

Line # 6  2658 RH

- CSMT-1; R; Primed Wood Exterior; LoE-366
- Box Size: 30x68
- LoE-366/Neat
- Custom Height
- White Screen Boxed
- BetterVue Mesh
- Preserve Glass
- Silver Spacer
- 4-9/16" Jamb
- No Brickmould
- PVC Sill Nosing
- White Hardware
- Interior Double Prime Finish (Glass Size: 25x63)

Sash 1
- U-Factor=0.28
- SHGC=0.19
- Visible Transmittance=0.44
- PG=CW-PG55-C
- Single Unit Rating Only

1 Each
Line #7  5068 FRENCH DOOR

- FRENCH-PD-2; 5/0x6/8;
7-3/16" Bottom Rail
4-13/16" Stiles/Top Rail
LoE-366/Neat
No Screens
Tempered Glass
Preserve Glass
Silver Spacer
4-9/16" Jamb
Fingerjointed Jams & Stops
Polished Brass Hinges
Munchen Handle Style
M374N BackPlate
Brass HandleSet (Active)
Brass Striker
Handle Activated Base Charge
Brass HandleSet (Inactive)
No Brickmould
Keyed Alike
Multi-Point Locks Included
Interior Double Prime Finish
(Frame)
Interior Double Prime Finish
(Panell)
Interior Double Prime Finish
(Astragal/Mull Jamb)
Bronze Sill

Sash 1
U-Factor=0.32
SHGC=0.13
Visible Transmittance=0.28
PG=LC-PG30-SHD
Single Unit Rating Only

1 Each @

Line #8  20" SIDELIGHT IS NARROWEST

- SWING-PD-1; 12-7/16x72-9/16; O;
Primed Wood Exterior; LoE-366
Inswing
4-13/16" Bottom Rail
3-3/8" Stiles/Top Rail
LoE-366/Neat
Custom Width
No Screens
Preserve Glass
Silver Spacer
Tempered Glass
4-9/16" Jamb
Fingerjointed Jams & Stops
Deduct For Stationary Panel
PVC Brickmould
Interior Double Prime Finish-
Frame
Interior Double Prime Finish-
Panel
Bronze Sill

Sash 1
U-Factor=0.33
SHGC=0.1

(Continued On Next Page)
(Continued From Previous Page)

Visible Transmittance=0.21
PG=LC-PG30-FD
Single Unit Rating Only

2 Each