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MONTGOMERY COUNTY HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION
STAFF REPORT

Address: 10115 Connecticut Ave., Kensington  
Meeting Date: 4/28/2021

Resource: Primary (Two) Resource  
Kensington Historic District  
Report Date: 4/21/2021

Applicant: Sina Sahand  
Public Notice: 4/14/2021

Review: Preliminary Consultation  
Staff: Dan Bruechert

PROPOSAL: Building Addition and Modifications to the Existing Building

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the applicant make revisions based on the feedback from the HPC and return for a HAWP.

ARCHITECTURAL DESCRIPTION

SIGNIFICANCE: Primary (Two) Resource within the Kensington Historic District
STYLE: Eclectic
DATE: 1923

Figure 1: The subject property is at the southern edge of the Kensington Historic District.
PROPOSAL

The applicant proposes to construct an addition to the subject property.

APPLICABLE GUIDELINES

Kensington Historic District Guidelines

When reviewing alterations and new construction within the Kensington Historic District several documents are to be utilized as guidelines to assist the Commission in developing their decision. These documents include the Approved & Adopted Amendment to the Master Plan for Historic Preservation: Kensington Historic District, Atlas #31/6 (Amendment), Vision of Kensington: A Long-Range Preservation Plan (Vision), Montgomery County Code Chapter 24A (Chapter 24A), and the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for Rehabilitation (Standards). The pertinent information in these documents is outlined below.

Approved & Adopted Amendment to the Master Plan for Historic Preservation: Kensington Historic District, Atlas #31/6

"In regard to the properties identified as secondary resources--that is visually contributing, but non-historic structures or vacant land within the Kensington District--the Ordinance requires the Preservation Commission to be lenient in its judgment of plans for contemporary structures or for plans involving new construction unless such plans would seriously impair the historic or architectural value of surrounding resources or impair the character of the district."

Vision of Kensington: A Long-Range Preservation Plan

The HPC formally adopted the planning study, Vision of Kensington: A Long-Range Preservation Plan, and is directed by the Executive Regulations, which were approved by the County Council, to use this plan when considering changes and alterations to the Kensington Historic District. The goal of this preservation plan "was to establish a sound database of information from, which to produce a document that would serve the HPC, M-NCPPC, their staff and the community in wrestling with the protection of historic districts amidst the pressures of life in the 21st century." (page 1). The plan provides a specific physical description of the district as it is; an analysis of character-defining features of the district; a discussion of the challenges facing the district; and a discussion of proposed strategies for maintaining the character of the district while allowing for appropriate growth and change.

Montgomery County Code; Chapter 24A-8

(b) The commission shall instruct the director to issue a permit, or issue a permit subject to such conditions as are found to be necessary to ensure conformity with the purposes and requirements of this chapter, if it finds that:

(1) The proposal will not substantially alter the exterior features of an historic site or historic resource within an historic district; or
(2) The proposal is compatible in character and nature with the historical, archeological, architectural or cultural features of the historic site or the historic district in which an historic resource is located and would not be detrimental thereto or to the achievement of the purposes of this chapter; or
(4) The proposal is necessary in order that unsafe conditions or health hazards be remedied; or

(d) In the case of an application for work on an historic resource located within an historic district, the commission shall be lenient in its judgment of plans for structures of little historical or design
significance or for plans involving new construction, unless such plans would seriously impair the historic or architectural value of surrounding historic resources or would impair the character of the historic district. (Ord. No. 9-4, § 1; Ord. No. 11-59.)

Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for Rehabilitation:

2. The historic character of a property shall be retained and preserved. The removal of historic materials or alteration of features and spaces that characterize a property shall be avoided.

9. New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction will not destroy historic materials, features, and spatial relationships that characterize the property. The new work shall be differentiated from the old and will be compatible with the historic materials, features, size, scale and proportions, and massing to protect the integrity of the property and its environment.

10. New additions and adjacent or related new construction will be undertaken in such a manner that, if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired.

STAFF DISCUSSION

The subject property is a small two-story house with shingle siding and Craftsman and Colonial Revival design elements. The history of the subject house is uncertain in some regards, however, early Kensington maps show a building in the approximate location of the subject house as early as 1911. The structure was a barn/stable during that time period. The 1924 Sanborn Map shows a dwelling in the location of the existing house location. Both maps show the building associated with the house at 3820 Washington St.

Figure 2: 1911 Sanborn, showing a 2-story building in the approximate location of the subject house. The ‘x’ denotes the building had a shingle roof.
II.A

Figure 3: 1924 Sanborn shows the subject property as associated with the house at the corner of Washington and Connecticut.

The applicant proposes to construct a two-story addition to the east of the subject property and to make changes to the existing house.

**Alterations to the Existing House**

The applicant proposes to leave the existing house form largely intact. At this point, the condition of many of the elements is still unknown and further investigation is required. The application materials indicate that the windows and doors will be replaced as needed. In order to approve the removal and replacement of these elements, more information will be required and Staff will provide that information to the application before returning for a HAWP. Staff finds that any windows on the historic house need to be replaced in matching materials and configuration (six-over-one sash) to be compatible. The applicant also proposes replacing the windows enclosing the porch, with an aluminum-clad wood window in a similar configuration. Staff finds these windows need to be replaced in matching configuration and material and that aluminum-clad wood is not an acceptable substitute in the original portion of the house. Additionally, the applicant proposes replacing the roof in-kind with a new three-tab shingle. This work does not require a HAWP because it is in-kind.

The last change proposed for the existing house is to remove the rotten cedar shake siding and replace it with vinyl shakes (Foundry, staggered shake). The cedar does appear to be suffering from deferred maintenance and is rotting in locations. Staff finds that removing the cedar piecemeal is not an effective strategy and would recommend the HPC approve its removal as a HAWP. Staff does not find the proposed vinyl to be an appropriate substitute material. Staff finds the proposed vinyl has a very flat profile that is inconsistent with the much thicker cedar. Additionally, cedar ages and develops a patina, and the vinyl siding has a slightly shiny appearance that will not diminish over time. Staff recommends the HPC require either a replacement cedar shake or a different wood siding for the historic portion of the house. A clapboard or shiplap siding would be compatible both with this house and the District.

Staff requests HPC feedback on the proposed replacement windows, roofing, and siding.

**Building Addition**

The subject property has a footprint of slightly more than 550 ft² (five hundred and fifty square feet) and less than 1000 ft² (one thousand square feet) of living space with a single bedroom. The applicant
proposes to construct an addition to the east of the existing house that will effectively double the house footprint and result in three bedrooms (exact dimensions of the proposed addition were not included with the proposal). Staff finds the size of the proposed addition is acceptable. While the addition does increase the size of the house, the house is significantly smaller than the existing properties and appears to have been a house associated with the much larger house to the north.

The addition has a side gable roof with a small shed dormer facing east. The gable ridge is below the existing, historic ridge height. The north side of the addition has a covered front porch on the ground floor with a triple set of casement windows above. The porch roof slope matches the house roof. On the east side of the house, there is a set of casement windows on the first floor and a pair of sash windows on the second floor. On the first floor of the south elevation, the secondary entrance will be reconfigured and there is a triple set of casement windows. On the second floor, there is a small projecting bay with three sash windows.

Staff finds the design and massing of the house are generally appropriate. The proposal adds a significant amount of square footage while still appearing subservient to the historic massing. The design takes advantage of the eastern slope of the lot by lowering the floor level in the proposed addition. This allows the addition’s roof ridge to be significantly lower than the historic ridgeline. Additionally, the addition is inset from the historic wall planes, which helps to separate the larger mass of the addition from the historic house. In effect, it is a hyphen, that doesn’t read as a hyphen from the exterior.

Staff finds that two areas of the design need to be revised to be compatible. First, the projecting porch should either be reduced in size or moved to the western side of the addition. This would reduce the visible mass of the addition. It appears to Staff that the porch reorientation could be accomplished without significantly reconfiguring the interior. Second, Staff finds that some of the eave returns on the addition should be eliminated to help make the addition appear subservient to the simply detailed historic construction.

Materials for the addition include vinyl shake siding (Foundry, Staggered Shake) and Pella Architect Series windows and doors, Pella’s aluminum-clad wood line. The roof will be covered in a three-tab shingle to match the historic house. Staff finds the proposed doors, windows, and roofing to be compatible with the historic house and would recommend approval as a HAWP. Staff finds the siding, however, is not appropriate for the reasons cited above. As this is new construction, there are a variety of materials that may be appropriate. Standard 9 requires new construction to be differentiated from the historic. Staff finds the proposed addition is already sufficiently differentiated by its form and window configuration that the siding could match the historic and the proposal would still comply with the Standard. Staff recommends either a natural wood siding or fiber cement clapboard be used for siding on the new construction.

Staff notes that no site work was included in this proposal. There is a one-car parking pad in front of the house and Staff believes more on site parking may be required. The lot is very small and the applicant is limited in locations for additional parking. Staff recommends extending the existing driveway towards the rear of the lot or widening the existing parking pad to the south. There are utilities in this area as well, so this may require a subsequent HAWP at a later date after further study.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

Staff recommends that the applicant make revisions based on the feedback from the HPC and return for a HAWP.
APPLICATION FOR HISTORIC AREA WORK PERMIT
HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION
301.563.3400

APPLICANT:

Name: Sina Sahand                     E-mail: sinasahand@gmail.com
Address: 1731 Pimmit Dr.                      City: Falls Church Zip: 22043
Daytime Phone: 410-419-6544                   Tax Account No.: ________________

AGENT/CONTACT (if applicable):

Name: Sina Sahand                     E-mail: sinasahand@gmail.com
Address: 1731 Pimmit Dr.                      City: Falls Church Zip: 22043
Daytime Phone: 410-419-6544                   Contractor Registration No.: ________________

LOCATION OF BUILDING/PREMISE: MIHP # of Historic Property 10115 Connecticut Ave

Is the Property Located within an Historic District?  Yes/District Name______________
   No/Individual Site Name________________________

Is there an Historic Preservation/Land Trust/Environmental Easement on the Property? If YES, include a map of the easement, and documentation from the Easement Holder supporting this application.

Are other Planning and/or Hearing Examiner Approvals /Reviews Required as part of this Application? (Conditional Use, Variance, Record Plat, etc.?) If YES, include information on these reviews as supplemental information.

Building Number: 10115                      Street: Connecticut Ave

Town/City: Kensington                      Nearest Cross Street: Washington St

Lot: P33 Block: 13 Subdivision: 0015 Parcel: 0000

TYPE OF WORK PROPOSED: See the checklist on Page 4 to verify that all supporting items for proposed work are submitted with this application. Incomplete Applications will not be accepted for review. Check all that apply:

☐ New Construction ☒ Deck/Porch ☐ Shed/Garage/Accessory Structure
☐ Addition ☐ Fence ☐ Solar
☒ Demolition ☐ Hardscape/Landscape ☐ Tree removal/planting
☒ Grading/Excavation ☒ Roof ☐ Window/Door
☐ Other:________________________

I hereby certify that I have the authority to make the foregoing application, that the application is correct and accurate and that the construction will comply with plans reviewed and approved by all necessary agencies and hereby acknowledge and accept this to be a condition for the issuance of this permit.

Sina Sahand
Signature of owner or authorized agent                                        April 9, 2021 Date
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Owner's mailing address</th>
<th>Owner's Agent's mailing address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Same as above.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Also, Mehrdad Nasr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11723 Summer Oak Dr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germantonw Maryland 20874</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240-381-8894</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adjacent and confronting Property Owners mailing addresses

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Description of Property: Please describe the building and surrounding environment. Include information on significant structures, landscape features, or other significant features of the property:

Property was built in 1923 on a 7,674 lot facing Connecticut Ave. The current two story house has foot print of about 588 SF with the total Gross Area of about 1000SF. The house neighbours are:
Right of the house: 3820 Washington St with about 2505 SF above grade Gross Area + 362 SF finished basement
Behind the house: 3814 Washington St with about 3092 SF above grade Gross Area + 500 SF finished basement
Left of the house: Temple Emanuel

Existing Property is free from Trees. Property has slightly slope from the West to East.

Description of Work Proposed: Please give an overview of the work to be undertaken:

We propose minimal changes to the current house while adding least possible structure to make the house functional and lively following county codes, structural, and architectural guidelines. The new addition will be built in the back of the house. The work will be done with all intentions to keep the current look of the house.

The Proposed Design assumes minimum changes in the Exterior and Interior fabric of existing Building. Survey of existing condition found that exterior wood shakes and asphalt shingles roofing are in very poor condition. Design recommendations are to replace exterior finishes with materials matching existing. Some windows will be replaced with new. Shape and details of new windows will be duplicate existing. Design of a new addition is compatible with the primary Structure. Rear addition has a smaller scale than existing building and has minimum visual impacts to it. In the same time, increasing of the total building footprint is a pleasant complement to the site and overall view from the Connecticut Avenue. Project assumes minimum excavation for linear foundation (30" from the Grade) in slab-on-grade.

Please see the detailed plan submitted.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work Item 1:</th>
<th><strong>Description of Current Condition:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>House is too small and is not livable with today's standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Proposed Work:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Build an addition as proposed in the detailed plan to the back of the house to bring the house to about 2000 SF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work Item 2:</th>
<th><strong>Description of Current Condition:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rotten doors and windows. There are numerous doors and windows with holes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Proposed Work:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inspect doors and windows and replace what's needed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work Item 3:</th>
<th><strong>Description of Current Condition:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cedar siding is in very poor condition and needs to be replaced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Proposed Work:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Replace Cedar siding as submitted in the detailed plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Construction</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additions/ Alterations</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demolition</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deck/Porch</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fence/Wall</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driveway/ Parking Area</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grading/Excavation/Landscaping</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree Removal</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siding/ Roof Changes</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Window/ Door Changes</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masonry Repair/ Repoint</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signs</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXIST WOOD STAIR TO BE DEMOLISHED
EXIST ROOF PARTIALLY TO BE DEMOLISHED FOR NEW SKYLIGHTS
EXIST WALL TO BE DEMOLISHED AFTER TEMPORARY SUPPORT INSTALLATION. INSTALL NEW COLUMNS AND LINTELS FOR BEARING WALL SUPPORT. SEE STRUCTURE
EXIST WALL TO BE DEMOLISHED FOR NEW OPENING
EXIST WINDOWS TO BE DEMOLISHED
EXIST WALL PARTIALLY TO BE DEMOLISHED FOR NEW OPENING
EXIST DOOR TO BE DEMOLISHED
EXIST WALL PARTIALLY TO BE DEMOLISHED
EXIST DOOR TO BE DEMOLISHED
EXIST DOOR TO BE DEMOLISHED
EXIST PLUMBING FIXTURES TO BE DEMOLISHED
EXIST DOOR TO BE DEMOLISHED
EXIST WALL TO BE DEMOLISHED
EXIST WALL TO BE DEMOLISHED
EXIST WALL TO BE DEMOLISHED
EXIST WALL TO BE DEMOLISHED
EXIST WOOD STAIR TO BE DEMOLISHED
EXIST WOOD STAIR TO BE DEMOLISHED
EXIST COLUMNS AND BEAMS
EXIST CABINETS AND COUNTERTOPS TO BE DEMOLISHED
EXIST DOOR TO BE DEMOLISHED
EXIST STAIR TO BE DEMOLISHED
NEW ADDITION
EXIST BUILDING

BEDROOM 1
110 SF

BEDROOM 2
111 SF

CL
18 SF

CL
18 SF

10' - 4"

5' - 0"

10' - 5"

10' - 5"

75 SF

MASTER BATH
15 SF

MASTER BEDROOM
208 SF

NEW SKYLIGHTS
EXISTING TO REMAIN

SECOND FLOOR PLAN
3/16" = 1'-0"

KENSINGTON RESIDENCE
10115 CONNECTICUT AVE,
Silver Spring, MD

NEW CONSTRUCTION - SECOND
FLOOR PLAN

3900 CHESTERWOOD DRIVE,
SILVER SPRING, MD 20906
703.586.1654

KENSINGTON RESIDENCE
10115 CONNECTICUT AVE,
Silver Spring, MD

NEW CONSTRUCTION - SECOND
FLOOR PLAN

3/16" = 1'-0"

EXISTING TO REMAIN
FIRST FLOOR
0' - 0"
SECOND FLOOR
8' - 4 3/4"
ROOF
16' - 4 3/4"
8' - 0"
8' - 4 3/4"

NEW ASPHALT SHINGLES TO MATCH EXISTING
EXIST CHIMNEY TO REMAIN
NEW CEDAR WOOD SHAKES TO MATCH EXISTING

GUEST ENTRANCE

3/16" = 1'-0"

KENSINGTON RESIDENCE
10115 CONNECTICUT AVE,
Silver Spring, MD
SIDEx ELEVATION (SOUTH)

EXIST BUILDING

NEW ADDITION

NEW CEDAR WOOD SHAKES
TO MATCH EXISTING

EXIST CHIMNEY TO REMAIN

NEW ASPHALT SHINGLES
TO MATCH EXISTING

NEW VINYL SHAKES TO
MATCH EXISTING

8'-0"

SECOND FLOOR
8'-4 3/4"

FIRST FLOOR
0'-0"

SECONDARY
ENTRANCE

SECONDARY
ENTRANCE

KENSINGTON RESIDENCE
10115 CONNECTICUT AVE,
Silver Spring, MD
NEW CEDAR WOOD SHAKES TO MATCH EXISTING
EXIST CHIMNEY TO REMAIN
NEW ASPHALT SHINGLES TO MATCH EXISTING
NEW VINYL SHAKES TO MATCH EXISTING

ROOF
16'-4 3/4"
SECOND FLOOR
8'-4 3/4"
FIRST FLOOR
8'-4 3/4"
CELLAR
-6'-10 29/32"

KENSINGTON RESIDENCE
10115 CONNECTICUT AVE,
Silver Spring, MD

3/16" = 1'-0"

REAR ELEVATION (EAST)

HP-8

3830 CHESTERWOOD DRIVE,
SILVER SPRING, MD 20906
703-585-1454
NEW ADDITION

EXIST BUILDING

NEW VINYL SHAKES TO MATCH EXISTING

NEW ASPHALT SHINGLES TO MATCH EXISTING

EXIST CHIMNEY TO REMAIN

NEW CEDAR WOOD SHAKES TO MATCH EXISTING

NEW COVERED PORCH

NORTH ELEVATION

3/16" = 1'-0"
NEW ADDITION
EXISTING BUILDING

NEW ASPHALT SHINGLES
TO MATCH EXISTING
EXIST CHIMNEY TO REMAIN
NEW CEDAR WOOD SHAKES
TO MATCH EXISTING

BEDROOM 1
110 SF

FAMILY ROOM
AND KITCHEN
512 SF

LIVING ROOM
375 SF

LONGITUDINAL SECTION
3/16" = 1'-0"

KENSINGTON RESIDENCE
10115 CONNECTICUT AVE,
Silver Spring, MD

NS DESIGN
3830 CHESTERWOOD DRIVE,
SILVER SPRING, MD 20906
703-585-1454

HP-10
LONGITUDINAL SECTION
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MARK</th>
<th>TYPE OF WINDOW</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>SILL HEIGHT</th>
<th>WIDTH</th>
<th>HEIGHT</th>
<th>COUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F-1</td>
<td>PELLA ARCHITECT SERIES TRADITIONAL - FIXED</td>
<td>WHITE</td>
<td>6' - 0&quot;</td>
<td>2' - 0&quot;</td>
<td>6' - 8&quot;</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-2</td>
<td>PELLA ARCHITECT SERIES TRADITIONAL - FIXED</td>
<td>WHITE</td>
<td>2' - 6&quot;</td>
<td>2' - 0&quot;</td>
<td>2' - 0&quot;</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-1</td>
<td>PELLA ARCHITECT SERIES TRADITIONAL - AWNING</td>
<td>WHITE</td>
<td>4' - 4&quot;</td>
<td>2' - 1&quot;</td>
<td>2' - 1&quot;</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-1</td>
<td>PELLA ARCHITECT SERIES TRADITIONAL - DOUBLE-HUNG</td>
<td>WHITE</td>
<td>2' - 0&quot;</td>
<td>1' - 9&quot;</td>
<td>4' - 5&quot;</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-2</td>
<td>PELLA ARCHITECT SERIES TRADITIONAL - DOUBLE-HUNG</td>
<td>WHITE</td>
<td>2' - 0&quot;</td>
<td>2' - 5&quot;</td>
<td>4' - 5&quot;</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-2</td>
<td>PELLA ARCHITECT SERIES TRADITIONAL - FIXED</td>
<td>WHITE</td>
<td>4' - 4&quot;</td>
<td>2' - 0&quot;</td>
<td>2' - 0&quot;</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KENSINGTON RESIDENCE**

10115 CONNECTICUT AVE,
Silver Spring, MD
# Doors Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mark</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Width H</th>
<th>Height T</th>
<th>Door Type</th>
<th>Material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>FIRST FLOOR</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>OPENING IN THE EXISTING WALL</td>
<td>5’ - 0”</td>
<td>7’ - 0”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>PELLA ARCHITECT SERIES TRADITIONAL - SINGLE HINGED DOOR</td>
<td>3’ - 0”</td>
<td>6’ - 8”</td>
<td>WOOD CLADDING</td>
<td>GLASS IN ALUMINUM FRAME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>EXTERIOR SINGLE HINGED DOOR</td>
<td>3’ - 0”</td>
<td>6’ - 8”</td>
<td>PANELED</td>
<td>WOOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>PELLA ARCHITECT SERIES TRADITIONAL - SLIDING DOOR</td>
<td>6’ - 0”</td>
<td>8’ - 0”</td>
<td>WOOD CLADDING</td>
<td>GLASS IN ALUMINUM FRAME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>PELLA ARCHITECT SERIES TRADITIONAL - SLIDING DOOR</td>
<td>6’ - 0”</td>
<td>8’ - 0”</td>
<td>WOOD CLADDING</td>
<td>GLASS IN ALUMINUM FRAME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>INTERIOR SINGLE DOOR</td>
<td>2’ - 8”</td>
<td>6’ - 8”</td>
<td>PANELED</td>
<td>WOOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>INTERIOR SLIDING DOOR</td>
<td>4’ - 4”</td>
<td>7’ - 0”</td>
<td>PANELED</td>
<td>WOOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>INTERIOR SINGLE DOOR</td>
<td>2’ - 4”</td>
<td>6’ - 8”</td>
<td>PANELED</td>
<td>WOOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>INTERIOR SINGLE DOOR</td>
<td>2’ - 6”</td>
<td>5’ - 9”</td>
<td>FLUSH</td>
<td>WOOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SECOND FLOOR</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>OPENING IN THE EXISTING WALL</td>
<td>3’ - 0”</td>
<td>6’ - 8”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td>INTERIOR SINGLE DOOR</td>
<td>2’ - 4”</td>
<td>6’ - 8”</td>
<td>PANELED</td>
<td>WOOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202</td>
<td>INTERIOR POCKET DOOR</td>
<td>2’ - 8”</td>
<td>7’ - 0”</td>
<td>PANELED</td>
<td>WOOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td>INTERIOR POCKET DOOR</td>
<td>2’ - 8”</td>
<td>7’ - 0”</td>
<td>PANELED</td>
<td>WOOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>204</td>
<td>INTERIOR SLIDING DOOR</td>
<td>5’ - 0”</td>
<td>7’ - 0”</td>
<td>PANELED</td>
<td>WOOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>205</td>
<td>INTERIOR SLIDING DOOR</td>
<td>4’ - 4”</td>
<td>7’ - 0”</td>
<td>PANELED</td>
<td>WOOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206</td>
<td>INTERIOR SINGLE DOOR</td>
<td>2’ - 4”</td>
<td>6’ - 8”</td>
<td>PANELED</td>
<td>WOOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>207</td>
<td>INTERIOR SINGLE DOOR</td>
<td>2’ - 4”</td>
<td>6’ - 8”</td>
<td>PANELED</td>
<td>WOOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>208</td>
<td>INTERIOR SINGLE DOOR</td>
<td>2’ - 4”</td>
<td>6’ - 8”</td>
<td>PANELED</td>
<td>WOOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>209</td>
<td>INTERIOR SLIDING DOOR</td>
<td>6’ - 0”</td>
<td>7’ - 0”</td>
<td>PANELED</td>
<td>WOOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>INTERIOR SLIDING DOOR</td>
<td>6’ - 0”</td>
<td>7’ - 0”</td>
<td>PANELED</td>
<td>WOOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>211</td>
<td>INTERIOR SLIDING DOOR</td>
<td>6’ - 0”</td>
<td>7’ - 0”</td>
<td>PANELED</td>
<td>WOOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>212</td>
<td>INTERIOR SINGLE DOOR</td>
<td>2’ - 4”</td>
<td>6’ - 8”</td>
<td>PANELED</td>
<td>WOOD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MATERIALS SELECTION

**EXISTING ASPHALT SHINGLES ROOFING**

**EXISTING CEDAR SHAKES SIDING**

**CERTAIN TEED**
Burnt Sienna

**WESTERN RED CEDAR SHAKES SIDING**
FOR EXISTING EXTERIOR WALLS -
TO MATCH EXISTING SIDING

**FOUNDRY**
Staggered Shake, Shadow

**ASPHALT SHINGLES SIDING**
TO MATCH EXISTING ROOFING

**VINYL SHAKES SIDING**
FOR NEW EXTERIOR WALLS -
TO MATCH EXISTING SIDING