MONTGOMERY COUNTY HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION
STAFF REPORT

Address: 17 Primrose St., Chevy Chase

Meeting Date: 8/15/2018

Resource: Outstanding Resource
Chuck Chase Village Historic District

Report Date: 8/8/2018

Public Notice: 8/1/2018

Applicant: David and Nancy Morgan

Tax Credit: N/A

Review: HAWP

Staff: Michael Kyne

Case Number: 35/13-18Y

PROPOSAL: Fence installation

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the HPC approve with one (1) condition the HAWP application.

1. The proposed fence will be reduced to 4’ in height or relocated to the rear plane of the historic house, with final review and approval delegated to staff.

ARCHITECTURAL DESCRIPTION

SIGNIFICANCE: Outstanding Resource within the Chevy Chase District

STYLE: Colonial Revival

DATE: c. 1892-1916
PROPOSAL

The applicants propose to install a 6’ tall wooden lattice fence with two gates at the subject property.

APPLICABLE GUIDELINES

When reviewing alterations and new construction within the Chevy Chase Village Historic District several documents are to be utilized as guidelines to assist the Commission in developing their decision. These documents include the historic preservation review guidelines in the approved and adopted amendment for the Chevy Chase Village Historic District (Guidelines), Montgomery County Code Chapter 24A (Chapter 24A), and the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for Rehabilitation (Standards). The pertinent information in these documents is outlined below.

Montgomery County Code; Chapter 24A-8

2. The commission shall instruct the director to issue a permit, or issue a permit subject to such conditions as are found to be necessary to insure conformity with the purposes and requirements of this chapter, if it finds that:

   (1) The proposal will not substantially alter the exterior features of an historic site or historic resource within an historic district; or

   (2) The proposal is compatible in character and nature with the historical, archeological, architectural or cultural features of the historic site or the historic district in which an historic resource is located and would not be detrimental thereto or to the achievement of the purposes of this chapter; or
(3) The proposal would enhance or aid in the protection, preservation and public or private utilization of the historic site or historic resource located within an historic district in a manner compatible with the historical, archeological, architectural or cultural value of the historic site or historic district in which an historic resource is located; or

(4) The proposal is necessary in order that unsafe conditions or health hazards be remedied; or

(5) The proposal is necessary in order that the owner of the subject property not be deprived of reasonable use of the property or suffer undue hardship; or

(6) In balancing the interests of the public in preserving the historic site or historic resource located within an historic district, with the interests of the public from the use and benefit of the alternative proposal, the general public welfare is better served by granting the permit.

3. It is not the intent of this chapter to limit new construction, alteration or repairs to any period or architectural style.

**Chevy Chase Village Historic District Guidelines**

The guidelines break down specific projects into three levels of review – Lenient, Moderate and Strict Scrutiny.

“Lenient Scrutiny” means that the emphasis of the review should be on issues of general massing and scale, and compatibility with the surrounding streetscape, and should allow for a very liberal interpretation of preservation rules. Most changes should be permitted unless there are major problems with massing, scale and compatibility.

“Moderate Scrutiny” involves a higher standard of review than “lenient scrutiny.” Besides issues of massing, scale and compatibility, preserving the integrity of the resource is taken into account. Alterations should be designed so that the altered structure still contributes to the district. Use of compatible new materials, rather than the original building materials, should be permitted. Planned changes should be compatible with the structure’s existing design, but should not be required to replicate its architectural style.

“Strict Scrutiny” means that the planned changes should be reviewed to insures that the integrity of the significant exterior architectural or landscaping features and details is not compromised. However, strict scrutiny should not be “strict in theory but fatal in fact” i.e. it does not mean that there can be no changes but simply that the proposed changes should be reviewed with extra care.

The Guidelines state three basic policies that should be adhered to, including:

Preserving the integrity of the contributing structures in the district. Alterations to contributing structures should be designed in such a way that the altered structure still contributes to the district.

Design review emphasis should be restricted to changes that will be visible from the front or side public right-of-way, or that would be visible in the absence of vegetation or landscaping.

Alterations to the portion of a property that are not visible from the public right-of-way should be subject to very lenient review. Most changes to rear of the properties should be approved as a matter of course.
The Guidelines that pertain to this project are as follows:

**Fences** should be subject to strict scrutiny if they detract significantly from the existing open streetscape. Otherwise, fences should be subject to moderate scrutiny if they are visible from the public right-of-way, lenient scrutiny if they are not.

**Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for Rehabilitation:**

2. The historic character of a property shall be retained and preserved. The removal of historic materials or alteration of features and spaces that characterize a property shall be avoided.

**STAFF DISCUSSION**

The subject property is a c. 1892-1916 Colonial Revival-style Outstanding Resource within the Chevy Chase Village Historic District. There is an existing 6’ tall wooden lattice fence on the east (right) side of the historic house, which is on the property line and runs north-south (perpendicular to the Primrose Street). The applicants propose to install a 6’ tall wooden lattice fence to the match the existing lattice fence on the east property line. The proposed fence will be installed parallel to Primrose Street, connecting an existing privacy fence on the west property line to the approximate front corner of the historic house.

The Commission’s fence policy requires fences forward of the rear plane of a historic house to be no taller than 4’ with an open design, preserving the open streetscape and visibility of the historic house from the public right-of-way. Fences beyond the rear plane of the historic house are allowed at a maximum height of 6’-6”.

Staff finds that the proposed fence should conform to the Commission’s fence policy to ensure that the proposal does! not detract from the character-defining features of the subject property or surrounding historic district. Staff recommends that the HPC approve the applicants’ proposal, with the condition that the proposed fence will be reduced to 4’ in height or relocated to the rear plane of the historic house, with final review and approval delegated to staff.

After full and fair consideration of the applicant’s submission staff finds the proposal as being consistent with the Criteria for Issuance in Chapter 24A-(b) 1 and 2, having found the proposal is consistent with the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for Rehabilitation outlined above.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

Staff recommends that the Commission **approve with one (1) condition** the HAWP application under the Criteria for Issuance in Chapter 24A-8(b), having found that the proposal is consistent with the Chevy Chase Village Historic District Guidelines identified above, and therefore will not substantially alter the exterior features of the historic resource and is compatible in character with the district and the purposes of Chapter 24A;

and with the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for Rehabilitation;

and with the general condition that the applicant shall present the **3 permit sets of drawings, if applicable to Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) staff for review and stamping** prior to submission for the Montgomery County Department of Permitting Services (DPS) building permits;
and with the general condition that final project design details, not specifically delineated by the Commission, shall be approved by HPC staff or brought back to the Commission as a revised HAWP application at staff’s discretion;

and with the general condition that the applicant shall notify the Historic Preservation Staff if they propose to make **any alterations** to the approved plans. Once the work is completed the applicant will contact the staff person assigned to this application at 301-563-3400 or michael.kyne@montgomeryplanning.org to schedule a follow-up site visit.
APPLICATION FOR HISTORIC AREA WORK PERMIT

Contact Name: Yan
Contact Email: yanana109@gmail.com
Contact Person: Nancy Morgan
Contact Phone: 301-401-7724

Tax Account No.: 001-584-11

Name of Property Owner: David Morgan
Address: 17 Primrose St/ Chevy Chase, MD 20815
Daytime Phone No.: 301-401-7724

Contractor: Home owner
Contractor Registration No.: 
Agent or Owner: 
Daytime Phone No.: 301-401-7724

LOCATION OF BUILDING ALTERATION
House Number: 17
Street: Primrose St.
Town/City: Chevy Chase
Nearest Cross Street: Connecticut Avenue
Lot: 17
Block: 58
Subdivision: Chevy Chase Section 2
Parcel: 5812
Folio: 319

PART THREE: PERMIT ACTION REQUESTED
1A. Check all applicable
☐ Construct ☐ Extend ☐ Alter/Remodel
☐ Move ☐ Install ☐ Wired/Tea
☐ Revision ☐ Repair ☐ Reversible
☐ Exemptions: ☑ Fence/Wall (complete Section B)
☐ Other:

1B. Construction cost/estimate: $ 2,000

1C. If this is a revision of a previously approved permit, see Permit No.

PART TWO: COMPLETE FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION AND EXTENSION ALTERATION
2A. Type of sewage disposal: ☑ VISEC 02 Other:
2B. Type of water supply: ☑ VISEC 02 Other:

PART THREE: COMPLETE ONLY IF TACKLING WALL
3A. Height: 6 feet 0 inches
3B. Indicate whether the fence or retaining wall is to be constructed on one of the following locations:
☐ Party line/property line ☑ Entirely on land of owner ☐ On public right of way/ easement

I hereby certify that I have the authority to make the foregoing application, that the application is correct, and that the construction will comply with plans approved by all agencies listed and I hereby acknowledge and agree that this is a condition for the issuance of this permit.

David Morgan
Signature of owner or authorized agent

Date: 7/24/18

SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR INSTRUCTIONS
THE FOLLOWING ITEMS MUST BE COMPLETED AND THE
REQUIRED DOCUMENTS MUST ACCOMPANY THIS APPLICATION.

1. WRITTEN DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT
   a. Description of existing structures and environmental setting, including their historical features and significance:

   Construct lattice fence with gate from SW.
   edge of house to existing fence on north-south
   property line

   b. General description of project and its effect on the historic resources, the environmental setting, and, where applicable, the historic district:

   Proposed fence will conform to existing fence
   on north-south property line, on east side.
   Fence will be no effect on historic resource, environmental
   setting or historic district.

2. SITE PLAN
   Site and environmental setting, drawn to scale. You may use your plot. Your site plan must include:
   a. the scale, north arrow, and dates;
   b. dimensions of all existing and proposed structures;
   c. site features such as walkways, driveways, fences, ponds, streams, trash dumpsters, mechanical equipment, and landscaping.

3. PLANS AND ELEVATIONS
   You must submit 2 copies of plans and elevations in a format no larger than 8 1/2" x 11". Plans on 8 1/2" x 11" paper are preferred.
   a. Site plan, showing plan, existing conditions, and changes proposed
   b. Elevations, indicated with marked dimensions, clearly indicating proposed work in relation to existing construction and, where appropriate, context. All assemblages and features proposed for the exterior must be noted on the elevations drawings. An existing and a proposed elevation drawing of each facade affected by the proposed work is required.

4. MATERIAL SPECIFICATIONS
   General description of materials and manufactured items proposed for incorporation in the work of the project. This information may be included on your design drawings.

5. PHOTOGRAPHS
   a. Clearly labeled photographic prints of each facade of existing resources, including details of the affected portions. All labels should be placed on the front of photographs.
   b. Clearly label photographic prints of the resource as viewed from the public right-of-way and of the adjoining properties. All labels should be placed on the front of photographs.

6. TREE SURVEY
   If your project includes construction adjacent to or within the canopy of any tree 6" or larger in diameter at approximately 4 feet above the ground, you must file an accurate tree survey identifying the size, location, and species of each tree at least that dimension.

7. ADDRESSES OF ADJACENT AND CONFINING PROPERTY OWNERS
   For all projects, provide an accurate list of adjacent and confining property owners (not tenants), including names, addresses, and zip codes. This list should include the owners of all lots or parcels which adjoin the parcel in question, as well as the owner(s) of lots or parcel(s) which lie directly across the street/highway from the parcel in question.

PLEASE PRINT IN BLUE OR BLACK INK OR TYPE THIS INFORMATION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE.
PLEASE STAY WITHIN THE GUIDES OF THE TEMPLATE, AS THIS WILL BE PHOTOCOPIED DIRECTLY INTO MAILING LABELS.
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Detail: our fence on east property line (between us and 21 Primrose St.).

Detail: proposed fence will run parallel to existing fence on property line (between us and 15 Primrose St.).

Applicant: David Bond and Nancy Morgan
# HAWP Application: Mailing Addresses for Notifying

[Owner, Owner's Agent, Adjacent and Confronting Property Owners]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Owner's mailing address</th>
<th>Owner's Agent's mailing address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>David B &amp; Nancy G Morgan</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Primrose Street</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chevy Chase, MD 20815</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adjacent and confronting Property Owners mailing addresses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deborah Jospin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christopher Gallagher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Primrose St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chevy Chase, MD 20815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colden + Nancy Florence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Primrose St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chevy Chase, MD 20815</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>