MONTGOMERY COUNTY HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION
STAFF REPORT

Address: 3710 Washington St., Kensington
Resource: Contributing (Primary Two) Resource
Kensington Historic District
Applicant: Sue Gander and Todd Dorrien
Review: HAWP
Case Number: 31/06-18A
PROPOSAL: Door replacement

Meeting Date: 1/10/2018
Report Date: 1/3/2018
Public Notice: 12/28/2017
Tax Credit: N/A
Staff: Michael Kyne

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the HPC approve with one (1) condition the HAWP application.

1. Dimensions for the existing and proposed door and their individual components will be provided, with final review and approval delegated to staff.

ARCHITECTURAL DESCRIPTION

SIGNIFICANCE: Primary-Two Resource within the Kensington Historic District
STYLE: Colonial Revival
DATE: c. 1908

PROPOSAL:

The applicants propose to replace the front door at the subject property.

APPLICABLE GUIDELINES

When reviewing alterations and new construction within the Kensington Historic District several documents are to be utilized as guidelines to assist the Commission in developing their decision. These documents include the Amendment to the Master Plan for Historic Preservation: Kensington Historic District (Amendment), Vision of Kensington: A Long-Range Preservation Plan (Vision), Montgomery County Code Chapter 24A (Chapter 24A), and the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for Rehabilitation (Standards). The pertinent information in these documents is outlined below.

Vision of Kensington

In accordance with Section 1.5 of the Historic Preservation Rules, Guidelines, and Procedures (Regulation No. 27-97), the Commission in developing its decision when reviewing a Historic Area Work Permit application for an undertaking involving a resource within the Kensington Historic District may use the Vision to determine the appropriateness of a proposal. The goal of the Vision “was to establish a sound database of information from which to produce a document that would serve the HPC, M-NCPPC, their staff, and the community in wrestling with the protection of historic districts amidst the pressures of
life in the 21st century.”

In addition, the Vision provides a specific physical description of the district as it was at the time of the study, an analysis of character-defining features of the district, a discussion of the challenges facing the district, and a discussion of proposed strategies for maintaining the character of the district, while allowing for appropriate growth and change.

The Vision identifies the following, as those features that help define the character of Kensington’s built environment:

- Building Setbacks: Residential and Commercial Patterns
- Rhythm and Spacing between Buildings
- Geographic and Landscape Features
- Scale and Building Height
- Directional Expression of Buildings
- Roof Forms and Materials
- Porches
- Dominant Building Material
- Outbuildings
- Integrity of Form, Building Condition, and Threats
- Architectural Style

The Amendment notes that:

_The district is architecturally significant as a collection of late 19th and early 20th century houses that exhibit a variety of architectural styles popular during the Victorian period including Queen Anne, Shingle, Eastlake, and Colonial Revival. The houses share a uniformity of scale, setbacks, and construction materials that contribute to the cohesiveness of the district’s streetscapes. This uniformity, coupled with the dominant design inherent in Warner’s original plan of subdivision, conveys a strong sense of both time and place, that of a Victorian garden suburb._

Sec. 24A-8. Same-Criteria for issuance.

(a) The commission shall instruct the director to deny a permit if it finds, based on the evidence and information presented to or before the commission that the alteration for which the permit is sought would be inappropriate, inconsistent with or detrimental to the preservation, enhancement or ultimate protection of the historic site or historic resource within an historic district, and to the purposes of this chapter.

(b) The commission shall instruct the director to issue a permit, or issue a permit subject to such conditions as are found to be necessary to insure conformity with the purposes and requirements of this chapter, if it finds that:

1. The proposal will not substantially alter the exterior features of an historic site or historic resource within an historic district; or
2. The proposal is compatible in character and nature with the historical, archeological, architectural or cultural features of the historic site or the historic district in which an historic resource is located and would not be detrimental thereto or to the achievement of the purposes of this chapter; or
3. The proposal would enhance or aid in the protection, preservation and public or private utilization of the historic site or historic resource located within an historic district in a manner compatible with the historical, archeological, architectural or cultural value of the historic site or historic district in which an historic resource is located; or
4. The proposal is necessary in order that unsafe conditions or health hazards be remedied; or
(5) The proposal is necessary in order that the owner of the subject property not be deprived of reasonable use of the property or suffer undue hardship; [emphasis added] or
(6) In balancing the interests of the public in preserving the historic site or historic resource located within an historic district, with the interests of the public from the use and benefit of the alternative proposal, the general public welfare is better served by granting the permit.

(c) It is not the intent of this chapter to limit new construction, alteration or repairs to any 1 period or architectural style.

(d) In the case of an application for work on an historic resource located within an historic district, the commission shall be lenient in its judgment of plans for structures of little historical or design significance or for plans involving new construction, unless such plans would seriously impair the historic or architectural value of surrounding historic resources or would impair the character of the historic district. (Ord No. 9-4, § 4; Ord No. 11-39)

Secretary of Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation

The Secretary of the Interior defines rehabilitation as “the act or process of making possible a compatible use for a property through repair, alterations, and additions while preserving those portions or features, which convey its historical, cultural, or architectural values.” The Standards are as follows:

1. A property shall be used for its historic purpose or be placed in a new use that requires minimal change to the defining characteristics of the building and its site and environment.
2. The historic character of a property shall be retained and preserved. The removal of historic materials or alteration of features and spaces that characterize a property shall be avoided.
3. Each property shall be recognized as a physical record of its time, place, and use. Changes that create a false sense of historical development, such as adding conjectural features or architectural elements from other buildings, shall not be undertaken.
4. Most properties change over time; those changes that have acquired historic significance in their own right shall be retained and preserved.
5. Distinctive features, finishes, and construction techniques or examples of craftsmanship that characterize a historic property shall be preserved.
6. Deteriorated historic features shall be repaired rather than replaced. Where the severity of deterioration requires replacement of a distinctive feature, the new feature shall match the old in design, color, texture, and other visual qualities and, where possible, materials. Replacement of missing features shall be substantiated by documentary, physical, or pictorial evidence.
7. Chemical or physical treatments, such as sandblasting, that cause damage to historic materials shall not be used. The surface cleaning of structures, if appropriate, shall be undertaken using the gentlest means possible.
8. Significant archaeological resources affected by a project shall be protected and preserved. If such resources must be disturbed, mitigation measures shall be undertaken.
9. New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction shall not destroy historic materials that characterize the property. The new work shall be differentiated from the old and shall be compatible with the massing, size, scale, and architectural features to protect the historic integrity of the property and its environment.
10. New additions and adjacent or related new construction shall be undertaken in such a manner that if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired.

STAFF DISCUSSION

The applicants propose to replace the existing front door with a door that is similar in appearance and material. The existing front door is wooden, with 12 true divided lites and a single raised panel below. The applicants have stated that the existing front door has been damaged and warped, making it difficult to
operate and causing it to leak, and that previous attempts to address these issues with weather stripping have been unsuccessful. It is unclear whether the existing front door is original to the house.

At the time of this writing, no dimensions have been provided for the existing front door and/or its individual components (i.e., muntins, raised panel, lite openings), and the submitted specifications for the proposed replacement door do not contain this information either; however, additional specifications found on the manufacturer’s website indicate that the muntins of the proposed replacement door are typically 1-3/8” and the raised panel is typically 11-5/8” high, with varying widths depending on the overall width of the door. Likewise, the lite openings vary depending on the overall height and width of the door.

Staff recommends that the Commission approve the applicants’ proposal, with the condition that dimensions for the existing and proposed door and their individual components be provided, with final review and approval delegated to staff. If staff can determine that the dimensions of the existing and proposed door are generally consistent, then it is unlikely that the proposal will significantly alter character-defining features of the subject property or detract from the surrounding streetscape of the historic district.

After full and fair consideration of the applicant’s submission staff finds the proposal as being consistent with the Criteria for Issuance in Chapter 24A-(b) 1 and 2, having found the proposal is consistent with the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for Rehabilitation, Amendment to the Master Plan for Historic Preservation: Kensington Historic District, and Vision of Kensington: A Long-Range Preservation Plan outlined above.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends that the Commission **approve with the conditions specified on Circle 1** the HAWP application under the Criteria for Issuance in Chapter 24A-8(b), (1), (2) & (d) having found that the proposal will not substantially alter the exterior features of the historic resource and is compatible in character with the district and the purposes of Chapter 24A;

and with the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for Rehabilitation;

and with the general condition that the applicant shall present the **3 permit sets of drawings, if applicable to Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) staff for review and stamping** prior to submission for the Montgomery County Department of Permitting Services (DPS) building permits;

and with the general condition that final project design details, not specifically delineated by the Commission, shall be approved by HPC staff or brought back to the Commission as a revised HAWP application at staff’s discretion;

and with the general condition that the applicant shall notify the Historic Preservation Staff if they propose to make **any alterations** to the approved plans.

Once the work is completed the applicant will **contact the staff person** assigned to this application at 301-563-3400 or michael.kyne@montgomeryplanning.org to schedule a follow-up site visit.
HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION
301/583-3400

APPLICATION FOR
HISTORIC AREA WORK PERMIT

Contact Email: gandervien@mac.com Contact Person: Sue Gander
Daytime Phone No.: 301 942 1256

Tax Account No.: 76

Name of Property Owner: Sue Gander & Tilda B. Rivett
Daytime Phone No.: 301 942 1256

Address: 3120 Washington St., Kensington, MD 20895

Contractor: Kitchen Bath & Design
Phone No.: 301 942 8092

Contractor Registration No.: M011C 12143

Agent for Owner: NA
Daytime Phone No.: NA

LOCATION OF BUILDING PROJECT

House Number: 31-10
Street: Washington Street
Town/City: Kensington
Nearest Cross Street: Lilly St
Loc. 20 Block: 13 Subdivision: OD15

PART ONE: TYPE OF PERMIFICATION AND USE

1A. CHECK ALL APPLICABLE

☐ Construct ☐ Extend ☐ Alter/Remodel ☐ A/C ☐ Bath ☐ Room Addition ☐ Porch ☐ Dock ☐ Shed
☐ Move ☐ Install ☐ Wreck/Flame ☐ Solar ☐ Fireplace ☐ Woodburning Stove ☐ Single Family
☐ Revision ☐ Repair ☐ Revocable ☐ Fence/Wall (complete Section 4) ☐ Other: Door

1B. Construction cost estimate: $100

IC. If this is a revision of a previously approved active permit, see Permit #-

PART TWO: COMPLETE FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION AND EXISTING WORKS

2A. Type of sewage disposal: STC/MWSCC 02 ☐ Septic 02 ☐ Other:

2B. Type of water supply: STC/WSCC 02 ☐ Well 02 ☐ Other:

PART THREE: COMPLETE ONLY FOR FENCING, RETAINING WALL

3A. Height: feet inches

3B. Indicate whether the fence or retaining wall is to be constructed on one of the following locations:
☐ On party line/property line ☐ Entirely on land of owner ☐ On public right of way/assessment

I hereby certify that I have the authority to make the foregoing application, that the application is correct, and that the construction will comply with plans approved by all agencies listed and I hereby acknowledge and accept this to be a condition for the issuance of this permit.

Signature of owner or authorized agent Date 12/8/17

Approved: For Chairperson, Historic Preservation Commission

Disapproved: Signature: Date:

Application/Permit No.: Data Filed: Date Issued:

SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR INSTRUCTIONS

822129
THE FOLLOWING ITEMS MUST BE COMPLETED AND THE
REQUIRED DOCUMENTS MUST ACCOMPANY THIS APPLICATION.

1. WRITTEN DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT
   a. Description of existing structure(s) and environmental setting, including their historical features and significance:
      - Existing house built in 1908, now in
        Rosemary Historic District
      - The house is original to house (style and materials)
      - Current day has improved and is difficult to
        adapt to use in today. New whitewashing was
        unnecessary due to painting problems.

   b. General description of project and its effect on the historic resource(s), the environmental setting, and, where applicable, the historic district:
      - Reconstruction existing house with new day of
        style and materials
      - Leave existing storm door in place
      - Improvements made to house and safety
      - No visible changes to view and effect of house

2. SITE PLAN
   Site and environmental setting, drawn to scale. You may use your plan. Your site plan must include:
   a. the scale, north arrow, and date;
   b. dimensions of all existing and proposed structures; and
   c. site features such as walkways, driveways, fences, ponds, streams, trash dumpsters, mechanical equipment, and landscaping.

3. PLANS AND ELEVATIONS
   You must submit 2 copies of plans and elevations in a format no larger than 11” x 17”. Plans on 8 1/2” x 11” paper are preferred.
   a. Schematic construction plans, with marked dimensions, indicating location, size and general type of wall, window and door openings, and other
      fixed features of both the existing resource(s) and the proposed work.
   b. Elevations (facades), with marked dimensions, clearly indicating proposed work in relation to existing construction and, when appropriate, contact.
      All materials and features proposed for the exterior must be noted on the elevations drawings. An existing and a proposed elevation drawing of each
      facade affected by the proposed work is required.

4. MATERIALS SPECIFICATIONS
   General description of materials and manufactured items proposed for incorporation in the work of the project. This information may be included on your
   design drawings.

5. PHOTOGRAPHS
   a. Clearly labeled photographic prints of each facade of existing resource, including details of the affected portions. All labels should be placed on the
      front of photographs.
   b. Clearly label photographic prints of the resource as viewed from the public right-of-way and of the adjoining properties. All labels should be placed on
      the front of photographs.

6. TREE SURVEY
   If you are proposing construction adjacent to or within the drip line of any tree 6” or larger in diameter (at approximately 4 feet above the ground), you
   must file an accurate tree survey identifying the size, location, and species of each tree at least that dimension.

7. ADDRESSES OF ADJACENT AND CONFRONTING PROPERTY OWNERS
   For all projects, provide a complete list of adjacent and confronting property owners (not tenants), including names, addresses, and zip codes. This list
   should include the owners of all lots or parcels which adjoin the parcel in question, as well as the owner(s) of lot(s) or parcel(s) which lie directly across
   the street/highway from the parcel in question.

PLEASE PRINT (IN BLUE OR BLACK INK) OR TYPE THIS INFORMATION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE.
PLEASE STAY WITHIN THE GUIDES OF THE TEMPLATE, AS THIS WILL BE PHOTOCOPIED DIRECTLY ONTO MAILING LABELS.
CONSUMER INFORMATION NOTES:

1. This plan is a benefit to a consumer insofar as it is required by a lender or a title insurance company or its agent in connection with contemplated transfer, financing or re-financing.

2. This plan is not to be relied upon for the establishment or location of fences, garages, buildings, or other existing or future improvements.

3. This plan does not provide for the accurate identification of property boundary lines, but such identification may not be required for the transfer of title or securing financing or re-financing.

4. Building line and/or Flood Zone information is taken from available sources and is subject to interpretation of originator.

Setback distances as shown to the principal structure from property lines are approximate. The level of accuracy for this drawing should be taken to be no greater than plus or minus 2 feet.

Flood Zone "C" per H.U.D. Flood Panel No. 01756

FENCES SHOWN ARE APPROXIMATE

WASHINGSTON STREET

LOCATION DRAWING
LOT 20 & PART OF 21, BLOCK 13
KENSINGTON PARK
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

REFERENCE'S CERTIFICATE

THE INFORMATION SHOWN HERON HAS BEEN
BASED UPON THE RESULTS OF A FIELD INSPECTION
PURSUANT TO THE DEED OR PLAT OF RECORD. EXISTING
STRUCTURES SHOWN HAVE BEEN FIELD LOCATED BASED
UPON MEASUREMENTS FROM PROPERTY MARKERS FOUND
OR FROM EVIDENCE OF LINES OF APPARENT OCCUPATION.

Jeffrey A. Tolson
MARYLAND PROPERTY LINE SURVEYOR REG. NO. 587

REFERENCE'S CERTIFICATE

PLAT BK. B
PLAT NO. 4

DATE OF LOCATIONS SCALE: 1" = 40'
LIBER WALL CHECK: DRAWN BY: V.G.S.
FOLIO H.S.K. LOC.: 5-18-01 JOB NO.: 01-2219

SNIKER & ASSOCIATES
SURVEYORS - ENGINEERS
LAND PLANNING CONSULTANTS
2 Professional Drive, Suite 216
Gaithersburg, Maryland 20878
301/948-9120, Fax 301/948-1296
7512 THERMAL SASH (TDL)

SERIES: Exterior French & Sash Doors
TYPE: Exterior French & Sash
APPLICATIONS: Can be used for a swing door with
Simpson door
solid wood with glass inserts
fir to be stained

Susan Gardner 3710 Washington Street Kensington
Existing Property Condition Photographs (duplicate as needed)

Detail: Siding warping / damage

Detail: Siding exterior clear / door to be replaced

Applicant: Susan Gander
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Owner’s mailing address</th>
<th>Owner’s Agent’s mailing address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gander-Orrigan</td>
<td>MA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3710 Washington Street</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kensington, MO 28845</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adjacent and confronting Property Owners mailing addresses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gaulway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3718 Washington Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kensington, MO 28845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st 19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                                                           |
| Kever                                                     |
| 3719 Washington Street                                    |
| Kensington, MO 28841                                      |
| 1st 21                                                    |

|                                                           |
| Nellis                                                    |
| 3767 Collect Ave                                         |
| Kensington, MO 28845                                     |
| 14 24                                                     |