School APFO:

The criteria that defines adequacy

For example:
- Is the threshold for requirement of a school facility payment set at the appropriate level?
- Is the threshold for moratorium set at the appropriate level?

Methodology of the school test

For example:
- Is program capacity the appropriate measure of existing capacity?
- Should the queue of project approvals by cluster area be followed on an ongoing basis?
- Should the test for adequacy be conducted by school level?

Subdivision Staging Policy

Results of School Test for FY 2016

Reflects County Council Adopted FY 2016 Capital Budget and Amendments to the FY 2015–2020 Capital Improvements Program (CIP)

Effective July 1, 2015, Updated August 25, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School Test Level</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Elementary Inadequate</th>
<th>Middle Inadequate</th>
<th>High Inadequate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clusters over 105% utilization</td>
<td>5-year test</td>
<td>Clarksburg (113.8%), Gaithersburg (109.4%), Northwood (105.5%), Quince Orchard (115.3%)</td>
<td>Blair (117.1%), Damascus (109.3%), Gaithersburg (105.0%), Kennedy (115.0%), Northwood (110.5%), Rockville (109.6%), Wheaton (114.2%), Whitman (111.9%)</td>
<td>Blair (110.0%), Church (106.4%), Clarksburg (113.3%), Einstein (113.7%), Walker Johnson (111.3%), Kennedy (107.7%), Richard Montgomery (119.8%), Northwest (113.5%), Northwood (112.5%), Paint Branch (106.1%), Quince Orchard (102.7%), Wheaton (109.8%), Whitman (114.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School facility payment required in inadequate clusters to proceed</td>
<td>Effective July 1, 2015</td>
<td>Test year 2020-21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Capacities in clusters include the following placeholder capital projects:
- Ten elementary school classrooms in the Gaithersburg Cluster.
- Six middle school classrooms in the Northwood Cluster.
- Four middle school classrooms in the Wheaton Cluster.
- Six high school classrooms in the Einstein Cluster.
- Eight high school classrooms in the Walter Johnson Cluster.
- Ten high school classrooms in the Northwood Cluster.

In addition to placeholder capacity projects, the Clarksburg Cluster high school capacity includes eight classrooms associated with the Seneca Valley HS revitalization/expansion that will be utilized through boundary changes between Clarksburg and Seneca Valley high schools in the future.
• Support for the idea of individual school service area testing instead of (or in addition to) cluster level testing.
  - Especially a problem at the **elementary** level, where cluster level testing can mask problems that exist at individual schools
  - Geography of each individual school
  - Cluster level test isn’t very effective because students don’t usually move around within each cluster.

• School facility payments for **school** level overcrowding in addition to the school cluster test.

• School facility payment thresholds will need to be adjusted if school facility test boundaries change.

• Moratorium should stay in place until Board or Education approves and the County Council appropriates CIP funds.

• Create moratorium if a school hits a maximum enrollment (set a maximum for **each individual school**) unless funding is in place to handle the students above the maximum threshold elsewhere.

• Consider setting a maximum school enrollment for each level and using this as an alternative trigger for moratoria, rather than the percentage of capacity.

• Yield rate – by area or (if data exists) by cluster (not countywide or regionally, southwest/north/east).