

Local Area Transportation Review

TRANSPORTATION IMPACT STUDY SCOPE OF WORK AGREEMENT

Contact Information					
Transportation Consultant (company, contact name, email, and phone number)					
Name of Applicant / Developer					
Project Information	In	clude Tables/G	raphics, As No	eeded	
Project Name (include plan no. if known)			-		
Project Location (include address if known)					
Policy Area(s) (subdivision staging policy map)		Master F Sector F	Plan(s) / Plan Area(s)		
Application Type(s)	☐ Preliminary Plan	☐ Site Plan	□ Sketch/Cond Preliminary (•	□ Amendment
71 77	☐ Conditional Use (formerly special exception)	☐ Local Map Amendment	□ Other: _		
Project Description & Previous Approvals (proposed land uses, zoning, no. of units, square footage, construction phasing, prior approvals and proposals, existing uses, site operations, year built, status of Adequate Public Facilities [APF], other relevant info)					
1.Site Access (proposed access location(s), existing/adjacent/opposite curb cuts, interparcel connections, access configurations and restrictions, internal circulation, private roads, parking/loading areas, other relevant info)					

	☐ Transportation Impact Study			☐ Transportation Study Exemption				
	Generates <u>50 or more</u> total weekday peak			eak	Statement			
2.Transportation	hour person trips (vehicular, transit,				Generates 49 or fewer total weekday peak			
Analysis Requirement	bicycle, and/or pedestrian) with no reductions other than a credit for existing			ina	hour person trips (vehicular, transit, bicycle, and/or pedestrian) with no reductions other			
	developments over 12 years old, <u>AND</u> is				than a credit for existing developments over			
	outside of the			ak		s old, <u>OR</u> within Whit		
	Policy Areas. F form and inclu			act		icy Areas. Fill out PAI ion sections below, a		
	study appendi		- Inipa	acc	_	on statement.	a molade viidi	
3.Policy Area Review	☐ TPAR ☐ PAN			PAMR	R			
(PAR)	(1/1/13 - 12/31/16)		(11/15/07 - 12/3					
Only for projects filed before	0, 25, 50%:		0-50%: _		□ No PAR (7/1/03 – 11/14/07)			
1/1/17	(TPAR = Transpo Area Review)	(TPAR = Transportation Policy Area Review) (PAMR = Policy Review)		cy Area	PATR (before 6/30/03) (PATR = Policy Area Transportation I			
4.Transportation		□ Yes						
Mitigation Agreement	□ No	(25+ Emplo	yees and in Tra		rtation	☐ Amend Existin	g TMAg	
(TMAg) Required?		Managemer	t District [TMD)])				
5.Established Trans-								
portation Management	□ No	□ Yes	TMD Nam	ne: _				
District (TMD)?								
_		_						
Transportation Impac	t Study Assı	umptions		I	nclude	Tables/Graphics, A	As Needed	
Transportation Impac 6.Study Years / Phases	ct Study Assi Existing Year:	umptions	Phases / Buil				As Needed	
-	-	-	Phases / Buil	ld-out	t Year(s)			
6.Study Years / Phases 7.Study Periods 8.Study Intersections	Existing Year: AM Pi # of tiers of int For the purpose subject site show	M	Phases / Building-day	ild-out aturdar r curre of tier	t Year(s) ay ent LATR of study perties in	: Sunday □ Other	: Fulation for the lo trip reductions	
6.Study Years / Phases 7.Study Periods 8.Study Intersections (For projects generating 50 or more person trips, list all	Existing Year: AM Pi # of tiers of int For the purpose subject site show	M	Phases / Building-day	ild-out aturdar r curre of tier	t Year(s) ay ent LATR of study perties in	Sunday □ Other Guidelines): Intersections, trip calcommon ownership. N	: Fulation for the lo trip reductions	
6.Study Years / Phases 7.Study Periods 8.Study Intersections (For projects generating 50 or more person trips, list all signalized & significant unsignalized intersections, and	Existing Year: AM P # of tiers of int For the purpose subject site shoul should be taken	M	Phases / Building-day	ild-out aturdar r curre of tier	t Year(s) ay ent LATR s of study perties in edit for ex	Sunday □ Other Guidelines): Intersections, trip calcommon ownership. N	: Fulation for the lo trip reductions	
6.Study Years / Phases 7.Study Periods 8.Study Intersections (For projects generating 50 or more person trips, list all signalized & significant	Existing Year: AM P # of tiers of int For the purpose subject site should be taken 1)	M	Phases / Building-day	ild-out aturdar r curre of tier	t Year(s) ay ent LATR s of study perties in edit for ex	Sunday □ Other Guidelines): Intersections, trip calcommon ownership. N	: culation for the lo trip reductions	
6.Study Years / Phases 7.Study Periods 8.Study Intersections (For projects generating 50 or more person trips, list all signalized & significant unsignalized intersections, and site driveways traffic counts must be collected within 12-months of completed	Existing Year: AM Pi # of tiers of int For the purpose subject site shoul should be taken 1) 2)	M	Phases / Building-day	ild-out aturdar r curre of tier	t Year(s) ay ent LATR s of study perties in edit for ex 7) 8)	Sunday □ Other Guidelines): Intersections, trip calcommon ownership. N	: culation for the lo trip reductions	
6.Study Years / Phases 7.Study Periods 8.Study Intersections (For projects generating 50 or more person trips, list all signalized & significant unsignalized intersections, and site driveways traffic counts must be collected within	Existing Year: AM Pi # of tiers of int For the purpose subject site shoul should be taken 1) 2) 3)	M	Phases / Building-day	ild-out aturdar r curre of tier	t Year(s) ay ent LATE s of study perties in edit for ex 7) 8) 9)	Sunday □ Other Guidelines): Intersections, trip calcommon ownership. N	: culation for the lo trip reductions	
6.Study Years / Phases 7.Study Periods 8.Study Intersections (For projects generating 50 or more person trips, list all signalized & significant unsignalized intersections, and site driveways traffic counts must be collected within 12-months of completed	Existing Year: AM Pi # of tiers of int For the purpose subject site should be taken 1) 2) 3) 4)	M	Phases / Building-day	ild-out aturdar r curre of tier	t Year(s) ay ent LATR s of study pperties in edit for ex 7) 8) 9) 10)	Sunday □ Other Guidelines): Intersections, trip calcommon ownership. N	: culation for the lo trip reductions	
6.Study Years / Phases 7.Study Periods 8.Study Intersections (For projects generating 50 or more person trips, list all signalized & significant unsignalized intersections, and site driveways traffic counts must be collected within 12-months of completed	Existing Year: AM Pi for the purpose subject site should be taken 1) 2) 3) 4) 5) Total Person	M □ Mid tersections to of determining ald also includa in this calcula	Phases / Buill -day Sa o study (refer g the number of le nearby unbuil ation other than	aturda r curre of tien uilt pro n a cre	t Year(s) ay ent LATR s of study pperties in edit for ex 7) 8) 9) 10)	Sunday □ Other □ Othe	: culation for the lo trip reductions	
6.Study Years / Phases 7.Study Periods 8.Study Intersections (For projects generating 50 or more person trips, list all signalized & significant unsignalized intersections, and site driveways traffic counts must be collected within 12-months of completed and accepted application) 9.Trip Generation (clearly cite sources and methodology including use of rates vs. equation, include trip generation for existing site,	Existing Year: AM Pi for the purpose subject site should be taken 1) 2) 3) 4) 5) Total Person Trips	M	Phases / Building -day	r curro of tier uilt pro n a cre	t Year(s) ay ent LATE s of study perties in edit for ex 7) 8) 9) 10) 11) add mod it Trips*	Sunday Other Guidelines): Intersections, trip calc common ownership. No isting developments over The re rows if necessary Walking Trips*	:	
6.Study Years / Phases 7.Study Periods 8.Study Intersections (For projects generating 50 or more person trips, list all signalized & significant unsignalized intersections, and site driveways traffic counts must be collected within 12-months of completed and accepted application) 9.Trip Generation (clearly cite sources and methodology including use of rates vs. equation, include trip	Existing Year: AM Pi # of tiers of int For the purpose subject site should be taken 1) 2) 3) 4) 5) 6) Total Person Trips	M	Phases / Building -day	ild-out aturda r curre of tier uilt pro n a cre	t Year(s) ay ent LATE s of study perties in edit for ex 7) 8) 9) 10) 11) add mod it Trips*	Sunday Other Guidelines): In intersections, trip calcommon ownership. No inisting developments of the common ownership of the common ownership. Our initial of the common ownership of the common ownership. Our initial ownership of the common ownership of the common ownership. Our initial ownership of the common ownership own	: culation for the lo trip reductions yer 12 years old. Bicycling Trips*	

	the form to show all calculations and assumptions for mode breakout.
10.Trip Reductions	
(include justification and supporting documentation for internal capture, pass-by, diverted, Transportation Demand Management)	
11.Trip Distribution %	
12.Pipeline	
Developments to be considered as	
background traffic	
(include name, plan #, land uses, and sizes for approved but unbuilt developments or concurrently pending applications; info can be obtained from the M-NCPPC Pipeline website)	
13.Pipeline	
Transportation Projects to be considered as	
background condition	
(fully funded County Capital Improvement Program, State Consolidated Transportation Program, developer projects, etc. within the next 6 years)	

Preliminary Mitigation	n Analysis *	Refer to the LATR Guidelines for details on how to mitigate
14.Vehicular Analysis	☐ Vehicular Analysis Anticipated 11 (Vehicular mitigation to be determined after study)	 TEST: HCM Analysis is required to be provided for all intersections analyzed in studies for: 1) "Red & Orange" policy areas, and 2) intersections with a CLV of more than 1,350 in "Yellow & Green" policy areas. 3) CLV analysis required for all intersections regardless of policy area. CLV assessment and signal timing worksheets are to be included in the study appendix. MITIGATION: Required if HCM delay analyses exceed policy area standard
15.Pedestrian Analysis	☐ Pedestrian Mitigation Anticipated	 TEST: If the plan generates 50 or more pedestrian peak hour trips, mitigation of surrounding pedestrian conditions is required MITIGATION: Required if ADA non-compliance issues within 500 foot radius of site boundary and if pedestrian crosswalk delay at LATR intersections within 500 feet of site boundary is lower than Level of Service (LOS) D
16.Bicycle Analysis	☐ Bicycle Mitigation Anticipated	 TEST: If the plan generates 50 or more bicycle peak hour trips and is within 0.25 miles of an existing educational institution or existing/planned bikeshare station, mitigation of surrounding bicycle conditions is required MITIGATION: Required to make improvements to provide a low Level of Traffic Stress to any existing similar facility within 750 feet of the site boundary; Alternatively, project may provide a master planned improvement that provides an equivalent improvement in the level of traffic stress for cyclists
17.Transit Analysis	☐ Transit Mitigation Anticipated	 TEST: If the plan generates 50 or more transit peak hour trips and the peak load of bus routes at bus stops within 1,000 feet of site boundary exceeds (or is worse than) peak load of LOS D (1.25 transit riders per seat during the peak period in the peak direction), mitigation of transit conditions is required MITIGATION: Required to provide or fund improvements that would mitigate the trips exceeding the standard that are attributable to the development
Additional Analysis or Software Required	☐ Queuing Analysis☐ Signal Warrant Ana☐ Weaving/Merge An	

M-NCPPC Clarifications

- Transportation impact study will comply with all other requirements of the LATR Guidelines not listed on this form.
- If physical improvements are proposed as mitigation, the transportation impact study will demonstrate feasibility with regards to right-of-way and utility relocation (at a minimum).
- In the event that the development proposal significantly changes after this transportation impact study scope has been agreed to, the Applicant will work with M-NCPPC staff to amend the scope to accurately reflect the new proposal.
- A receipt from MCDOT showing that the transportation impact study review fee has been paid will be provided to M-NCPPC DARC at the time the development application is submitted.
- Minimum of seven paper copies (more if near the County line or an incorporated City) and two PDF copies of the transportation impact study and appendices will be provided.

Additional Assumptions / Special Circumstances for Discussion	

Site Trip Generation Estimate Worksheet						
Step 1: Vehicle Trips						
ITE Land use Code						
Development Size						
ITE trip generation estimate		Total AM Vehicle				
formula/rate* AM		Trips				
ITE Trip generation estimate		Total PM Vehicle				
formula/rate* PM		Trips				
Step 2: Policy Area Conversion	T	1				
Policy Area # & Name		Trip Adjustment		%		
		Factor				
Applied Policy Area Adjusted Value AM						
Applied Policy Area Adjusted Value PM						
			43.5	D) (
Step 3: Mode Split	T	1	AM	PM		
Auto Driver	%	Results				
Auto Passenger	%	Results				
Transit	%	Results				
Walking	%	Results				
Bicycling	%	Results				

Complete one of these tables for EACH use included in the application. Enter results into "Transportation Impacts Analysis" section of the form.